Q1. Purpose of utility survey:

This survey, in addition to other inputs, will be used to inform the utility's maturity level to establish a level for the current year (2021), as well as establish a target maturity for 2023.

The assessment of maturity will also leverage each utility's WMP submission, other supporting documents and disclosures, and select audits of relevant inputs where deemed necessary.

<u>Instructions for answering each of the survey questions:</u>

Utilities shall answer survey questions by:

- 1. Indicating the most appropriate response option to each question based on the <u>presently employed</u> <u>practices and capabilities</u> of the utility.
- 2. Indicating the <u>most appropriate response to each question for the utility's expected capabilities in 3 years</u> (Q1, 2023) based on expected growth in maturity over the 3 year period of the Wildfire Mitigation Plan (WMP) to inform the utility's 3-year target maturity.

Only one response option should be selected unless the question is specified as select all that apply.

Importantly, utilities shall only indicate that they meet a given response option if they meet <u>all</u> of the characteristics described within that response option, across <u>all instances</u> where that question is valid.

For example, if a utility meets all criteria for answer ii of a given question and all but one criterion for answer iii, that utility must select answer ii. Similarly, if a utility meets all criteria for answer ii of a given question over 60% of its territory but meets all criteria for answer i over 100% of its territory, the utility must select answer i.

<u>Instructions for use of the electronic survey:</u>

Please fill out the electronic survey in its entirety.

The unique link provided to you can be used on multiple devices. Please only use on a single device at a time. To avoid creation of any conflict copies, please allow 15 minutes to pass before switching between devices. For example, if passing the survey off to a colleague on a different machine please have the colleague wait for 15 minutes after you stop working to begin.

If you are completing the survey in multiple sittings, your progress will be saved. You may use the unique link provided to you to resume where you left off.

Confirmation of survey responses:

Within 24 hours of completing and submitting the survey in its entirety, the main utility contact designated below will receive a PDF of your responses for final verification by email. Please review that document, confirm all of your responses one final time, and provide your signature as instructed in the PDF.

Your responses will be evaluated by the CPUC following this final verification.

Α.

A. Risk mapping and simulation

A.I Climate scenario modeling and sensitivities

Capability 1

QAla.

A.I.a How sophisticated is utility's ability to estimate the risk of weather scenarios?

<u>Clarification</u>: Determining wildfire risk requires the utility to understand the probability of ignition and the consequences of such an ignition while taking various conditions into account (e.g., weather, fuel levels, etc.). Categorizing level of risk requires a set of calculations and judgements to group areas by wildfire risk level whereas quantitatively estimating risk refers to accurately quantifying risk on a continuous spectrum based on a host of wildfire risk drivers (e.g., as a function of ignition probability, propagation scenarios, and communities located in the propagation path).

	i. No clear ability to understand incremental risk under various weather scenarios	ii. Wildfire risk can be reliably determined based on weather and its impacts	iii. Weather scenarios can be reliably categorized by level of risk	iv. Risk for various weather scenarios can be reliably estimated	v. Incremental risk of foreseeable weather scenarios can be accurately and quantitatively estimated
Current Year	0	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	\circ		•	

QAIb.

A.I.b How are scenarios assessed?

<u>Clarification</u>: Per the instructions, please only indicate that you meet a given response option if <u>you meet all</u> the characteristics described within that response option). So, hypothetically, if you do support your scenarios assessment by historical data of incidents and near misses and conduct internal assessments, but don't have an independent expert assessment, you would select (ii).

	i. No formal assessment process	ii. Independent expert assessment	iii. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near misses	iv. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near misses, and updated based on real-time learning during weather event
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	\bigcirc	•	0

QAIC.

A.I.c How granular is utility's ability to model scenarios?

	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-based	v. Asset-based
Current Year	0	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		\circ		\circ	•

A.I.d How automated is the tool? <u>Clarification</u>: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0: (ii) corresponds to level.

automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

	i. Not automated	ii. Partially (<50%)	iii. Mostly (≥ 50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	0	•	0

QAle.

A.l.e What additional information is used to estimate model weather scenarios and their risk?

	i. None	ii. Weather, how weather effects failure modes and propagation	iii. Weather, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware	iv. Weather, measured at the circuit level, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware	iv. Weather, measured at the circuit level, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware, level of vegetation
Current Year	0	0	0		•
by Start of 2023	0				•

QAIf.

A.I.f To what extent is future change in climate taken into account for future risk estimation?

	i. Future climate change not accounted for in estimating future weather and resulting risk	ii. Future risk estimates take into account generally higher risk across entire service territory due to changing climate	iii. Basic temperature modeling used to estimate effects of a changing climate on future weather and risk, taking into account difference in geography and vegetation	iv. Modeling with multiple scenarios used to estimate effects of a changing climate on future weather and risk, taking into account difference in geography and vegetation, and considering increase in extreme weather event frequency
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	\circ	•

AII.

A.II Ignition risk estimation

Capability 2

QAlla.

A.II.a How is ignition risk calculated?

	i. No reliable tool or process to estimate risk across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, and vegetation	ii. Tools and processes can reliably categorize the risk of ignition across the grid into at least two categories based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns	iii. Tools and processes can quantitatively and accurately assess the risk of ignition across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns	accurately assess the risk of ignition across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, localized weather patterns, and flying debris probability, with probability based on specific failure modes and top contributors to those failure modes
Current Year	0			•
by Start of 2023	0	0	0	•
automation' in Table 2 of the or 2; (iii) corresponds to leve			143 to 16461 0, (11 <i>)</i> 66116	sopolius to level 1
	i. Not automated	ii. Partially (<50%)	iii. Mostly (≥ 50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year		(<50%)	(≥ 50%)	
	i. Not automated			iv. Fully
oy Start of 2023 QAIIc.	tool?	(<50%)	(≥ 50%)	0
	tool?	(<50%) •	(≥ 50%)	
oy Start of 2023 QAllc. A.II.c How granular is the	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%)	
	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circi	(≥ 50%) o uit-based iv. Span-base	ed v. Asset-based
Oy Start of 2023 QAllc. A.II.c How granular is the	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%) uit-based iv. Span-base	ed v. Asset-based
QAllc. A.II.c How granular is the Current Year by Start of 2023 QAlld. A.II.d How is risk assessr	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%) uit-based iv. Span-base iii. Through real-time learning	ed v. Asset-based
QAIIc. A.II.c How granular is the Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%) uit-based iv. Span-base	ed v. Asset-based

 $\label{eq:QAlle} \textit{A.II.e What confidence interval, in percent, does the utility use in its wildfire risk assessments?}$

	>60%, or no quantified confidence interval	>80%	>90%	>95%
urrent Year	•	0	0	0
Start of 2023	0	•	0	0
A <i>III.</i>				
	tion of wildfire	consequ	ences t	or
Capability 3				
QAIIIa.	tod oongoguanga of ignition	rolovod?		
A.III.a HOW IS ESTIMA	ted consequence of ignition	relayed?		
	i. No translation of ignition risk estimates to ca potential consequences for communities	ii. Ignition events tegorized as low or high risk to communities	iii. Ignition eve categorized wit more levels of a communitie	h 5 or quantitatively, risk to accurately, and
urrent Year	0	0	0	•
Start of 2023				•
	are used to estimate the con	sequence of ign	lition risk?	
2	i. As a function of at least one the following: structures burn	ii. As a function of potential fatalities ed, of structures b	on of at least s, and one or both ourned, or area	damages, impact on air qualit and impact on GHG reduction
A.III.b What metrics a	i. As a function of at least one	ii. As a functi e of potential fatalities ed, of structures b ned bur	on of at least s, and one or both surned, or area ned	potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, monetar damages, impact on air qualit and impact on GHG reductio goals
A.III.b What metrics a	i. As a function of at least one the following: structures burn	ii. As a function of potential fatalities ed, of structures be and of the burnes be a constant of the burnes of th	on of at least s, and one or both ourned, or area	potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, monetar damages, impact on air qualit and impact on GHG reduction
urrent Year Start of 2023	i. As a function of at least one the following: structures burn	ii. As a function of potential fatalities ed, of structures bened burn	on of at least s, and one or both ourned, or area ned	potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, monetar damages, impact on air qualit and impact on GHG reductio goals
A.III.b What metrics a arrent Year Start of 2023 QAIIIC. A.III.c Is the ignition	i. As a function of at least one the following: structures burn potential fatalities, or area burn	ii. As a function of potential fatalities ed, of structures bened burn	on of at least s, and one or both ourned, or area ned	potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, monetar damages, impact on air qualit and impact on GHG reduction goals
urrent Year Start of 2023 QAIIIc. A.III.c Is the ignition	i. As a function of at least one the following: structures burn potential fatalities, or area burn	ii. As a function of potential fatalities ed, of structures bened burn	on of at least s, and one or both ourned, or area ned	potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, monetar damages, impact on air qualit and impact on GHG reductio goals
urrent Year y Start of 2023 QAIIIc.	i. As a function of at least one the following: structures burn potential fatalities, or area burn	ii. As a function of potential fatalities ed, of structures bened burn	on of at least s, and one or both ourned, or area ned	potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, monetar damages, impact on air qualit and impact on GHG reductio goals ii. Yes

	i. Not automated	(<50%)	(≥ 50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	•	0
QAllle. A.III.e How granular is the	e ignition risk estima	ation process?		
	regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circ	uit-based iv. Span-b	ased v. Asset-based
Current Year	0	0	0 0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	0 0	•
	3			
	i. Outputs not evaluated	ii. Outputs independently assessed by experts	iii. Outputs independent assessed by experts an	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for
		ii. Outputs independently	iii. Outputs independent assessed by experts an confirmed by historica	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for al example, using machin
A.III.f How are the outputs Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Outputs not evaluated	ii. Outputs independently assessed by experts	iii. Outputs independent assessed by experts an confirmed by historica data	assessed by experts and confirmed based on related time learning, for example, using maching learning
Current Year	i. Outputs not evaluated re used to estimate i. Level and conditions of	ii. Outputs independently assessed by experts impact? ii. Level and conditions of vegetation and weather, including the vegetation specifies immediately surrounding the ignition	iii. Outputs independent assessed by experts an confirmed by historica data iiii. Level and conditions vegetation and weathe including the vegetatic specifies immediately surrounding the ignition site and up-to-date moisture content, local	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for example, using machin learning of er, on y on
Current Year by Start of 2023 QAIIIg. A.III.g How other inputs a	i. Outputs not evaluated	ii. Outputs independently assessed by experts impact? ii. Level and conditions of vegetation and weather, including the vegetation specifies immediately surrounding the ignition site	iii. Outputs independent assessed by experts an confirmed by historica data iii. Level and conditions vegetation and weather including the vegetation surrounding the ignition site and up-to-date	assessed by experts an confirmed based on retired learning, for example, using maching learning of err, on by on
Current Year by Start of 2023 QAIIIg.	i. Outputs not evaluated re used to estimate i. Level and conditions of	ii. Outputs independently assessed by experts impact? ii. Level and conditions of vegetation and weather, including the vegetation specifies immediately surrounding the ignition	iii. Outputs independent assessed by experts an confirmed by historica data iiii. Level and conditions vegetation and weathe including the vegetatic specifies immediately surrounding the ignition site and up-to-date moisture content, local	of er, on by on

AIV.

A.IV Estimation of wildfire and PSPS risk-reduction impact

Capability 4

QAIVa.

	i. No clear estimation of risk red ereduction potential initi	pproach accurately estimates risk uction potential of atives categorically g. High, Medium, Low)	iii. Approach reliably estimates risk reduction potential of initiatives , on an ordinal scale (e.g. 1- 5)	iv. Approach reliably estimates risk reduction potential of initiatives on an interval scale (e.g specific quantitative)	initiatives on an interval scale (e.g. specific quantitative units) with a
Current Year	0	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	\circ	•	
QAIVb. A.IV.b How automated is Clarification: For clarification automation in Table 2 of the core; (iii) corresponds to le	on on level of autom he Maturity Model. (nation please ref i) in this case co	er to the 'level or erresponds to lev	f systematizati	
	i. Not automated	ii. Partia (<50%		i. Mostly (≥50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year		•			
				•	0
by Start of 2023		luction impact a		● ol?	
oy Start of 2023 QAIVc. A.IV.c How granular is t	he ignition risk red i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	luction impact a	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-based	
Oy Start of 2023 QAIVC. A.IV.c How granular is the Courrent Year	he ignition risk red i. Less granular than regional, or no tool	luction impact a	iii. Circuit-based	● ol?	v. Asset-based
by Start of 2023 QAIVc.	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all isk reduction impa	ii. Regional ict assessment all for ii. With evider	iii. Circuit-based o tool estimates	iv. Span-based assessed?	iv. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near
QAIVC. A.IV.c How granular is to Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional oct assessment	iii. Circuit-based o tool estimates	iv. Span-based assessed?	iv. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of

 $\ensuremath{\textit{QA/Ve.}}$ A.IV.e What additional information is used to estimate risk reduction impact?

	i. None	ii. Existing hardware type and condition	iii. Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history	iv. Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history; level and condition of vegetation; weather	v. Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history; level and condition of vegetation; weather; and combination of initiatives already deployed
Current Year	0	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023				\circ	•

AV.

A.V Risk maps and simulation algorithms

Capability 5

<u>Clarification on terminology</u>: A risk map is a collection of data sufficient to represent the spatial distribution (e.g., across a geography) of a given type of risk (i.e., the probability of an event and its consequence) and the spatial representation thereof. Risk maps may include maps of the probability of ignition along the utility's grid and may represent the consequences given ignition at various points along the grid. Risk maps may also combine these factors to show a weighted probability and consequence risk level across the utility's grid. Data inputs should include the variables and conditions used to calculate risk for a given point, line, or polygon. The risk mapping algorithm is a methodology or formula for interpreting a risk calculation from these data inputs.

QAVa.

A.V.a What is the protocol to update risk mapping algorithms?

	i. No defined process for updating risk mapping algorithms	ii. Risk mapping algorithms updated based on detected deviations of risk model to ignitions and propagation	iii. Risk mapping algorithms updated continuously in real time
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	•

QAVb.

A.V.b How automated is the mechanism to determine whether to update algorithms based on deviations?

<u>Clarification</u>: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

	i. Not automated	ii. Partially (<50%)	iii. Mostly (≥50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	0	•	0

QAVc.

by Start of 2023		\circ		•	0
QAVd. A.V.d How are decisions	to update algori				
	i. Not currently e		dependently evaluate experts		ently evaluated by I historical data
Current Year	0		•		0
by Start of 2023	0				•
QAVe. A.V.e What other data is u	ısed to make ded	cisions on whet	her to update al	gorithms?	
	i. Historic ignition and propagation data	ii. Current and historic ignition and propagation data	iii. Current and historic ignition and propagation data; near-miss data	iv. Current and historic ignition and propagation data; near-miss data; data from other utilities and other sources	v. None of the above
Current Year	0	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023	0			•	\circ

ii. Manually

i. Not currently calculated

iv. Fully automated

process

iii. Semi-automated

process

В.

Current Year

B. Situational awareness and forecasting

BI.

B.I Weather variables collected

Capability 6

QBla.

B.I.a What weather data is currently collected?

	i. Wind data being collected is insufficient to properly understand wind related risks along grid	ii. Wind being measured accurately enough along the grid to estimate ignition probability	iii. Range of accurate weather variables (e.g humidity, precipitation surface and atmospheric wind conditions) that impact probability of ignition and propagation from utility assets	from utility assets; additional data to measure physical impact of weather on
Current Year				\bigcirc
by Start of 2023	0	0	•	0
QBlb. B.I.b How are measurement	1			
	i. Measurements not cur validated	-	eld calibration iii. / rements	Automatic field calibration measurements
Current Year	0	(•	0
by Start of 2023	0			0
content)?	j. i. i	No	ii	. Yes
Current Year		0		•
by Start of 2023				•
QBId. B.I.d How many sources	are being used to pr		her metrics being	collected?
Current Year	0			(iii)
by Start of 2023	0			•
B.II Weather of Capability 7	data resolut	ion		

QBIIa.

B.II.a How granular is the weather data that is collected?

	i. Weather data collected does not accurately reflect loc weather conditions across grid infrastructure	to reliably me	sufficient reliably of the standard reliably o	ather data has nt granularity to measure weather tions in HFTD and along the grid and in all eeded to predict ier on the grid	iv. Weather data has sufficient granularity to reliably measure weather conditions in HFTD areas, and along the entire grid and in all areas needed to predict weather on the grid. Also includes wind estimations at various atmospheric altitudes relevant to ignition risk
Current Year	0	0		0	•
by Start of 2023		0		0	•
QBIIb. B.II.b How frequently is d	i. Less frequently	ii. At least hourly	iii. At least four times per hour	iv. At least six ti per hour	mes v. At least sixty times per hour
Current Year	0	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	0		•
QBIIc. B.II.c How granular is the	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-base	ed v. Asset-based
Current Year	0	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0		0	•	
QBIId. B.II.d How automated is t <u>Clarification</u> : For clarification automation' in Table 2 of the or 2; (iii) corresponds to lever	n on level of autom e Maturity Model. (i	ation please ref) in this case co	er to the 'level or rresponds to le 1 Ily	vel 0; (ii) corre	esponds to level 1
Current Year					O

BIII.

by Start of 2023

B.III Weather forecasting ability

Capability 8

	i. No reliable independent weather forecasting ability	ii. Utility has independe weather forecasting ab sufficiently accurate fulfill PSPS requirements	ility accurate w	nation of eather external to make	iv. Utility has the ability to use a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data to make accurate forecasts, and adjusts them in real time based on a learning algorithm and updated weather inputs
Current Year			•		0
by Start of 2023	0	0	0		•
QBIIIb. B.III.b How far in advance	e can accurate fored	casts be prepared	?		
	i. Less than two weeks in	advance ii. At least tw	o weeks in advance	iii. At lea	ast three weeks in advance
Current Year	•				\circ
by Start of 2023	•		0		0
Current Year by Start of 2023	forecasts at all	ii. Regional iii. C	Circuit-based iv	Span-bas	ed v. Asset-based
OBIIId					
QBIIId. B.III.d How are results en	ror-checked? i. Results are not error o	against h	are error checked istorical weather patterns	fo subs	eria for option (ii) met, and orecasted results are equently error checked t measured weather data
B.III.d How are results en		against h	istorical weather	fo subs	orecasted results are equently error checked
		against h	istorical weather	fo subs	orecasted results are equently error checked t measured weather data
B.III.d How are results er	i. Results are not error of the forecast proces on on level of automate Maturity Model. (i) i	against h checked s? ion please refer to n this case corresp	istorical weather patterns	for subs agains stematiza	orecasted results are equently error checked t measured weather data o o ation and
Current Year by Start of 2023 QBIIIe. B.III.e How automated is Clarification: For clarification automation in Table 2 of the	i. Results are not error of the forecast proces on on level of automate Maturity Model. (i) i	against h checked s? ion please refer to n this case corresp	istorical weather patterns	stematiza ; (ii) corr	orecasted results are equently error checked t measured weather data o o ation and

BIV.

B.IV External sources used in weather forecasting Capability 9

QE	1	1/	2
WD) [V	3

B.IV.a What source does the utility use for weather data?

	i. Utility does not use external weather data	ii. External data used where direct measurements from utility's own weather stations are not available	iii. Utility uses a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data	iv. Utility uses a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data, and elects to use the data set, as a whole or in composite, that is most accurate
Current Year	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0			•

QBIVb.

B.IV.b How is weather station data checked for errors?

	i. Weather station data is not checked for errors	ii. Mostly manual processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources	iii. Mostly automated processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources	iv. Completely automated processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources	v. Completely automated processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources, and where the utility builds new weather stations or calibrates existing stations, it is based on these error checking processes
Current Year	0	0	•	0	
by Start of 2023	0	0	•	0	0

QBIVc.

B.IV.c For what is weather data used?

	i. Weather data is used to make decisions	ii. Weather data is used to produce a combined weather map that can be used to help make decisions	iii. Weather data is used to create a single visual and configurable live map that can be used to help make decisions
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	•

B.V Wildfire detection processes and capabilities

Capability 10

		1/-
()	∺	1/2
W	ப	va.

B.V.a Are there well-defined procedures for detecting ignitions along the grid?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

QBVb.

B.V.b What equipment is used to detect ignitions?

	i. No consistent set of equipment for detecting ignitions along grid	ii. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid	iii. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras	iv. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras, and satellite monitoring
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	\bigcirc	•

QBVc.

B.V.c How is information on detected ignitions reported?

	i. Detected ignitions are not reported	ii. Procedure exists for notifying suppression forces	iii. Procedure exists for notifying suppression forces and key stakeholders	iv. Procedure automatically, accurately, and in real time notifies suppression forces and key stakeholders	v. Procedure automatically, accurately, and in real time notifies suppression forces and key stakeholders, and tracks and reports propagation paths to suppression forces in accurately and in real time
Current Year	0		•		
by Start of 2023			•		

QBVd.

B.V.d What role does ignition detection software play in wildfire detection?

	i. Ignition detection software not currently deployed	ii. Ignition detection software in cameras used to augment ignition detection procedures	iii. Ignition detection software in cameras operates automatically as part of ignition detection procedures	iv. All criteria met for option iii., and software automatically reports any ignition event to suppression forces accurately and in real time
Current Year	0	•	0	
by Start of 2023	0	•	0	

C

C. Grid design and system hardening

<u>Clarification</u>: 'Hardening' refers to grid hardening as defined in the WMP guidelines: Actions (such as equipment upgrades, maintenance, and planning for more resilient infrastructure) taken in response to the risk of undesirable events (such as outages) or undesirable conditions of the electrical system in order to reduce or mitigate those events and conditions, informed by an assessment of the relevant risk drivers or factors.

CI.

C.I Approach to prioritizing initiatives across territory Capability 11

QCla.

C.I.a How are wildfire risk reduction initiatives prioritized?

	i. Plan does not clearly prioritize initiatives geographically to focus on highest risk areas	ii. Plan prioritizes risk reduction initiatives to within only HFTD areas	iii. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives based on local geography and conditions within only HFTD areas	iv. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives at the span level based on i) risk modeling driven by local geography and climate/weather conditions, fuel loads and moisture content and topography ii) detailed wildfire and PSPS risk simulations across individual circuits	v. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives at the asset level based on i) risk modeling driven by local geography and climate/weather conditions, fuel loads and moisture content and topography ii) risk estimates across individual circuits, including estimates of actual consequence, and iii) taking power delivery uptime into account (e.g. reliability, PSPS, etc.)
Current Year	0			•	
by Start of 2023	0		0		•

C.II Grid design for minimizing ignition risk Capability 12

0	0	110
W	U	Id

C.II.a Does grid design meet minimum G095 requirements and loading standards in HFTD areas?

	i. No	ii. Yes	iii. Grid topology exceeds design requirements, designed based on accurate understanding of drivers of utility ignition risk
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0		•

QCIIb.

C.II.b Does the utility provide micro grids or islanding where traditional grid infrastructure is impracticable and wildfire risk is high?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

QCIIc.

C.II.c Does routing of new portions of the grid take wildfire risk into account?

	i. Yes	ii. No
Current Year	•	
by Start of 2023	•	

QCIId.

C.II.d Are efforts made to incorporate the latest asset management strategies and new technologies into grid topology?

	i. No	ii. Yes, some effort made in HFTD areas	iii. Yes, across the entire service area
Current Year	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0		•

CIII.

C.III Grid design for resiliency and minimizing PSPS

Capability 13

C.III.a What level of redundancy does the utility's transmission architecture have?

	i. Many sing	le points of failure	ii. n	1-1 redundancy for all	circuits subject to PSPS	
Current Year		0		•		
by Start of 2023		0		•		
QCIIIb. C.III.b What level of redur	ndancy does the ut	ii. n-1 redun	dancy iii. st 50% of cove	cture have? n-1 redundancy ering at least 70% of ustomers in HFTD	iv. n-1 redundancy covering at least 85% of customers in HFTD	
Current Year	0	•	5/50/4	0	0	
by Start of 2023		•		0	0	
	i. Many single i	ii. Switches in HFTD areas to ndividually isolate	iii. Switches i HFTD areas t individually isol circuits, such th no more than 20 customers sit wi	o HFTD areas to ate individually isola nat circuits, such tha 000 more than 100	HFTD areas to ate individually isolate t no circuits, such that no more than 200	
O	points of failure	circuits	one switch	one switch	one switch	
Current Year				•	0	
by Ctart of 2022						
by Start of 2023		0	0	•	0	
by Start of 2023 QCIIId. C.III.d How does the utilit	y consider egress	o points in its g		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
QCIIId.	i. Does not con	ii. Egress as an in į	rid topology av fo points used si put for grid ir	iii. Egress points vailable and mapped r each customer, and stential traffic mapped based on traffic imulation and taken nto consideration for grid topology design	iv. Egress points available and mapped for each customer, with potential traffic simulated and taken into consideration for grid topology design, and microgrids or other means to reduce consequence for customers at frequent risk of PSPS	
QCIIId.		ii. Egress as an inp a sider topolog	rid topology av fo points used si put for grid ir	iii. Egress points vailable and mapped r each customer, and otential traffic mapped based on traffic imulation and taken nto consideration for	available and mapped for each customer, with potential traffic simulated and taken into consideration for grid topology design, and microgrids or other means to reduce consequence for customers at frequent	

CIV.

C.IV Risk-based grid hardening and cost efficiency

QCIVa.

Current Year

C.IV.a Does the utility have an understanding of the risk spend efficiency of hardening initiatives? Clarification: 'Hardening initiatives' refers to all initiatives implemented by utility or by other utilities in California

	i. Utility has no clear un of the relative risk spen of hardening initia	d efficiency	ii. Utility has an accurate nderstanding of the relative and effectiveness of differe initiatives	understan and effe cost initiati	ity has an accurate ding of the relative cost ctiveness of different ves, tailored to the ces of different locations on its grid	
Current Year	0		0		•	
by Start of 2023	0		0		•	
QCIVb. C.IV.b At what level can ea	stimates be prepa	red?				
	regional, or not at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-based	v. Asset-based	
Current Year	0		•	\circ		
by Start of 2023			•	\bigcirc	0	
Current Year	i. Never	ii	. Less frequently than annu	ıally iii. Annua	ally or more frequently	
by Start of 2023			0			
QCIVd. C.IV.d What grid hardenin Clarification: 'All Hardening California					r utilities in	
	i. None	ii. Some	iii. Most	iv. All	v. All, supported by independent testing	
Current Year	0	0	0	•	0	
by Start of 2023		0				

i. No

 \bigcirc

ii. Yes

•

CV

C.V Grid design and asset innovation

Capability 15

QCVa.

C.V.a How are new hardening solution initiatives evaluated?

	i. No established program for evaluating the risk spend efficiency of new hardening initiatives	ii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events	iii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events, and measuring reduction impact on near-miss metrics	iv. New initiatives independently evaluated, followed by field testing based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events, and measuring reduction impact on near- miss metrics
Current Year	0		•	0
by Start of 2023	0			•

QCVb.

C.V.b Are results of pilot and commercial deployments, including project performance, project cost, geography, climate, vegetation etc. shared in sufficient detail to inform decision making at other utilities?

	i. No	ii. Yes, with limited partners	iii. Yes, extensively with industry, academia, and other utilities
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		0	•

QCVc.

C.V.c Is performance of new initiatives independently audited?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	0
by Start of 2023		•

Q372.

D. Asset management and inspections

D.I Asset inventory and condition assessments

Capability 16

-			
(.))	a	

D.I.a What information is captured in the equipment inventory database?

	i. There is no service territory- wide inventory of electric lines and equipment including their state of wear or disrepair	ii. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle	iii. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs	iv. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs and up-to-date work plans on expected future repairs and replacements	v. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs and up-to-date work plans on expected future repairs and replacements wherein repairs and sensor outputs are independently audited
Current Year				•	
Start of 2023		0		•	

QDIb.

D.I.b How frequently is the condition assessment updated?

	i. Never	ii. Annually	iii. Quarterly	iv. Monthly	v. Hourly
Current Year	0	0	•		0
Start of 2023	0	\circ		•	0

QDIc.

D.I.c Does all equipment in HFTD areas have the ability to detect and respond to malfunctions?

	i. No system and approach are in place to detect or respond to malfunctions	ii. A system and approach are in place to reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition	iii. Sensorized, continuous monitoring equipment is in place to determine the state of equipment and reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition	iv. Sensorized, continuous monitoring equipment is in place to determine the state of equipment and reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition, with the ability to de-activate electric lines and equipment exhibiting such failure
Current Year	0	•	0	
by Start of 2023	0	•	\circ	\circ

	i. There is no invent	ory ii. At the s	pan level	iii. At the asset level	
Current Year	0			•	
by Start of 2023				•	
DII.					
D.II Asset ins	pection cyc	ele			
Capability 17					
QDIIa. D.II.a How frequent are years.	our patrol inspection	ıs?			
				. Above minimum regulatory	
	i. Less frequent than reg require	julations ii. Consistent regulatory re	with minimum	requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment	
Current Year	0)	•	
by Start of 2023				•	
QDIIb. D.II.b How are patrol insp		ii. Based on up-to- date	iii. Risk, as determin by predictive modeli of equipment failure	ng determined by predictive modeling of equipment	
	i. Based on annual or periodic schedules		by predictive modeli	ng determined by predictive modeling of equipment	
D.II.b How are patrol insp	i. Based on annual or	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment	by predictive modeli of equipment failure probability and risk	ng determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and ris	
D.II.b How are patrol insp	i. Based on annual or periodic schedules	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment types and environment	by predictive modeli of equipment failure probability and risk	ng determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and ris causing ignition	
D.II.b How are patrol insp	i. Based on annual or periodic schedules	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment types and environment	by predictive modeli of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition	determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and riscausing ignition	
D.II.b How are patrol insp	i. Based on annual or periodic schedules	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment types and environment	by predictive modeli of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition	determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and riscausing ignition	
D.II.b How are patrol insp Current Year by Start of 2023 QDIIc.	i. Based on annual or periodic schedules	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment types and environment	by predictive modeli of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition	e modeling of equipment failure probability and ris causing ignition	
D.II.b How are patrol insp Current Year by Start of 2023 QDIIc.	i. Based on annual or periodic schedules to scheduling patro i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment types and environment iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	by predictive modeli of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition iii. Predictive modeli supplemented witt continuous monitor	e modeling of equipment failure probability and ris causing ignition	

QDIId.

	i. Less frequent than regulations ii. Consistent with minimum require regulatory requirements		equirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment		
Current Year				•	
by Start of 2023	0			•	
QDIIe. D.II.e How are detailed in:	spections scheduled	?			
	i. Based on annual or periodic schedules	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment types and environment	iii. Risk, as determi by predictive mode of equipment failu probability and ris causing ignition	ling determined by predictive modeling of equipment	
Current Year	0	•	0	0	
by Start of 2023		•	0		
Q <i>DIIf.</i> D.II.f What are the inputs	i. At least annually updated or verified static	ii. Predictive modeling	iii. Predictive mode supplemented wi	th	
	maps of equipment and environment	of equipment failure probability and risk	continuous monito by sensors	iv. Outdated static maps	
Current Year	•	0		0	
by Start of 2023	•	\circ		0	
QDllg. D.II.g How frequent are yo	our other inspections i. Less frequent than regulating require		re with minimum	ii. Above minimum regulatory quirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment	
Current Year	0)	•	
y Start of 2023	0			•	
QDIIh. D.II.h How are other inspe	i. Based on annual or	ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment	iii. Risk, as determi by predictive mode of equipment failu probability and ris	ling determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and risk	
Current Year	periodic schedules	types and environment	causing ignition	causing ignition	
Juli Olit Teal					
by Start of 2023	0	•	0	0	

iii. Above minimum regulatory

D.II.i What are the inputs to scheduling other inspections?

	i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment	ii. Predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and risk	iii. Predictive modeling supplemented with continuous monitoring by sensors	iv. Outdated static maps
Current Year	•	0	0	0
by Start of 2023	•	0	0	0

DIII.

D.III Asset inspection effectiveness

Capability 18

QDIIIa.

D.III.a What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?

	Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists do not include all items required by statute and regulations	ii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations	iii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes lines and equipment typically responsible for ignitions and near misses
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0		•

QDIIIb.

D.III.b How are procedures and checklists determined?

	i. Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only	ii. Based on predictive modeling based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition	iii. Based on predictive modeling based on equipment type, age, and condition and validated by independent experts	iv. Based on predictive modeling based on equipment type, age, and condition and validated by independent experts, with dynamic adjustments in real time based on deficiencies found during inspection
Current Year	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023		•	\circ	

QDIIIc.

D.III.c At what level of granularity are the depth of checklists, training, and procedures customized?

	i. Across the service territory	ii. Across a region	iii. At the circuit level	iv. At the span level	v. At the asset level
Current Year	0	0			•
by Start of 2023	0	0	0	0	•

D.IV Asset maintenance and repair

Capability 19

-	C 1	
/ 1	, ,,	1/2
W.	111	Va

D.IV.a What level are electrical lines and equipment maintained at?

	Electric lines and equipment not consistently maintained at required condition over multiple circuits	ii. Electrical lines and equipment maintained as required by regulation	iii. Electrical lines and equipment maintained as required by regulation, and additional maintenance done in areas of grid at highest wildfire risk based on detailed risk mapping
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	•

QDIVb.

D.IV.b How are service intervals set?

	i. Based on wildfire risk in relevant area	ii. Based on wildfire risk in relevant circuit	iii. Based on wildfire risk in relevant circuit, as well as real-time monitoring from sensors	iv. None of the above
Current Year	•		\bigcirc	0
by Start of 2023		•	\bigcirc	0

QDIVc.

D.IV.c What do maintenance and repair procedures take into account?

	i. Wildfire risk	ii. Wildfire risk, performance history, and past operating conditions	iii. None of the above
Current Year	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	•	0

DV.

D.V QA/QC for asset maintenance

Capability 20

QDVa.

D.V.a How is contractor activity audited?

QDVb. D.V.b Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees? i. No ii. Yes Current Year by Start of 2023 QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance? i. Never ii. Sporadically iii. On an ad hoc basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time Current Year	DVb. W.b Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees? i. No ii. Yes ent Year that of 2023 DVc. W.c How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work rformance and inspections performance? ii. Never ii. Sporadically iii. On an ad hoc basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time ent Year that of 2023 DVd. W.d How is work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated? Iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, and inspections and quality of work and inspections and quality of work and inspections and inspections and inspections and quality work and inspections and inspections and inspections and quality work and inspections and inspection in the inspection in inspection in the ins		i. Lack of controls for auditing work completed, including inspections, for employees or subcontractors	ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors	iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semi- automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g., LiDAR scans, photographic evidence)	iv. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g., LiDAR scans, photographic evidence)
QDVb. D.V.b Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees? i. No ii. Yes Current Year by Start of 2023 QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance? i. Never ii. Sporadically iii. On an ad hoc basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time Current Year by Start of 2023	i. No ii. Yes lent Year thart of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hossis iii. On an ad hoc hossis iii. Never iii. Sporadically lent Year later of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hossis iv. Regularly v. Real-time lent Year later of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hossis iv. Regularly v. Real-time lent Year later of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hossis iv. Regularly v. Real-time lent Year lent Year lent Year lent Year lent Year lint On an ad hoc hossis iv. Regularly v. Real-time lent Year lent Year lent Year lent Year lint On an ad hoc hossis iv. Regularly v. Real-time lent Year lint On an ad hoc hossis iv. Regularly v. Real-time lint QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, and inspection in an and inspection in quality of work and inspections, and inspection in qua	Current Year	0	•	0	0
i. No ii. Yes Current Year by Start of 2023 i. No ii. Yes QDVc. D.V.c. How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance? i. Never ii. Sporadically iii. On an ad hoc basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time Current Year by Start of 2023 QDVd.	i. No ii. Yes ent Year chart of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hasis iv. Regularly v. Real-time ent Year i. Never ii. Sporadically basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time ent Year attent of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hasis iv. Regularly v. Real-time ent Year attent of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hasis iv. Regularly v. Real-time ent Year attent of 2023 iii. On an ad hoc hasis iv. Regularly v. Real-time ent Year attent of 2023 iii. QA/QC Information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses iii. QA/QC Information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses iii. QA/QC Information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses	by Start of 2023	0	•	0	0
QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance? i. Never ii. Sporadically basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time current Year by Start of 2023 QDVd.	V.c. How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work reformance and inspections performance? ii. Never ii. Sporadically iii. On an ad hoc basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time		1	No	ii.	Yes
D.V.c How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance?	V.c How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work and inspections performance? i. Never ii. Sporadically iii. On an ad hoc basis iv. Regularly v. Real-time	by Start of 2023				
Current Year by Start of 2023 QDVd.	Pent Year Start of 2023 OVd. V.d How is work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated? i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses					•
by Start of 2023	V.d How is work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated? i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work and inspections or low-quality work and inspections iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses	QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q	ions performance?	iii. On a	ciencies in quality of	f work
	V.d How is work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated? iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections or ineffective inspections or low-quality work iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training based on weaknesses	QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspecti	ions performance?	iii. On a	ciencies in quality of	f work
used to identify system iii. QA/QC information is deficiencies in quality of the ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and ineffective inspections or quality of work and inspections and inspection in quality of work and inspectio	west Volume	QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspecti	ions performance?	iii. On a	ciencies in quality of	f work
Current Year	ent rear	QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspection current Year by Start of 2023	i. Never ii spections that do not i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	iii. On a Sporadically ba Sporadically ba iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and	iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training	v. Real-time v. Real-time v. Real-time iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training
		QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspection current Year by Start of 2023 QDVd. D.V.d How is work and inspection controls are controls as a control of the control of th	i. Never ii spections that do not i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	iii. On a Sporadically ba Sporadically ba iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and	iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training	v. Real-time v. Real-time v. Real-time v. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses
		QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspection current Year by Start of 2023	i. Never ii spections that do not i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	iii. On a Sporadically ba Sporadically ba iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and	iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training	v. Real-time v. Real-time v. Real-time v. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses

QDVe.

D.V.e Are workforce management software tools used to manage and confirm work completed by



E.

E. Vegetation management and inspections

EI.

E.I Vegetation inventory and condition assessments Capability 21

QEla.

E.I.a What information is captured in the inventory?

	i. There is no vegetation inventory sufficient to determine vegetation clearances across the grid at the time of the last inspection	ii. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances based on most recent inspection	iii. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances, including predominant vegetation species and individual high risk-trees across grid	iv. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances, including individual vegetation species and their expected growth rate, as well as individual high risk-trees across grid	v. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances, including individual vegetation species and their expected growth rate, as well as individual high risk-trees across grid. Includes upto- date tree health and moisture content to determine risk of ignition and propagation
Current Year	\circ		\circ	•	
by Start of 2023				•	

QEIb.

E.I.b How frequently is the inventory updated?

	i. Never	ii. Annually	iii. Within 1 month of collection	iv. Within 1 week of collection	v. Within 1 day of collection
Current Year	0	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	\circ		\circ	•

QEIc.

	i. N	0		ii. Yes
urrent Year	0			•
Start of 2023	0			•
QEId.				
ಸ್ತರಾಗಿ. E.I.d How granular is the i	nventory?			
3	,			
	i. Regional	ii. Circuit-based	iii. Span-based	iv. Asset-based
rrent Year	0	0	0	•
Start of 2023				(0)
<i></i>				
		. ovele		
E.II Vegetation	inspection	cycle		
0 1:11:1 00				
Capability 22				
Capability 22				
Capability 22				
, -				
Ella.	4	manastiana O		
eElla.	types of vegetation i	nspections?		
Capability 22 Ella. II.a How frequent are all	types of vegetation i	nspections?		
eElla.				iii. Above minimum regulator equirements, with more freque
PElla.	types of vegetation i i. Less frequent than regul require	ations ii. Consister	it with minimum re	iii. Above minimum regulator equirements, with more freque nspections for highest risk are
Ella. .II.a How frequent are all	i. Less frequent than regul	ations ii. Consister	it with minimum re	equirements, with more freque
Ella. .II.a How frequent are all	i. Less frequent than regul	ations ii. Consister	it with minimum re	equirements, with more frequence of the sequence of the sequen
Ella. .II.a How frequent are all	i. Less frequent than regul	ations ii. Consister	it with minimum re	equirements, with more frequence inspections for highest risk are
Ella. .II.a How frequent are all	i. Less frequent than regul	ations ii. Consister	it with minimum re	equirements, with more frequence inspections for highest risk are
Ella. .II.a How frequent are all rrent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul	ations ii. Consister	it with minimum re	equirements, with more frequence inspections for highest risk are
Ella. III.a How frequent are all Trent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul require	ations ii. Consister regulatory	it with minimum re	equirements, with more frequence inspections for highest risk are
Ella. II.a How frequent are all rrent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul require	ations ii. Consister regulatory	it with minimum re	equirements, with more frequence inspections for highest risk are
Ella. III.a How frequent are all Trent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul require	ations ii. Consister regulatory	at with minimum reguirements in	equirements, with more frequence of the sections for highest risk are
Ella. II.a How frequent are all Trent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul require	ations ii. Consister regulatory	it with minimum re requirements in	equirements, with more frequence processes and the second sections for highest risk are
Ella. II.a How frequent are all Trent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul require	ations ii. Consister regulatory	it with minimum reguirements in iii. Risk determin up-to- predic	equirements, with more frequences for highest risk are
PElla.	i. Less frequent than regul require	ations ii. Consister regulatory ed? ii. Based o date static on annual predom	it with minimum reguirements in in requirements in it. Risk determin predicmaps of modeling in ant vegetation	equirements, with more frequences for highest risk are spections for highest risk are specifically s
Ella. II.a How frequent are all rrent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul require inspections schedule i. Based or pe	ii. Consister regulatory ii. Based of date static on annual predom vegetation	iii. Risk determin n up-to- maps of inant vegetation species and gro	equirements, with more frequency inspections for highest risk are as, as iv. Need, as independently determined by predictive modeling growth of vegetation growing and growing
Ella. III.a How frequent are all rrent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regul require inspections schedule i. Based or pe sche	ii. Consister regulatory ii. Based of date static on annual predom vegetation dules and environments.	iii. Risk determin n up-to- maps of modelir inant vegetation species and gro	iv. Need, as independently determined by predictive model growth wing on some conditions
Ella. II.a How frequent are all rrent Year Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than regularequire inspections schedule i. Based or pe sche	ii. Consister regulatory ii. Based of date static on annual predom vegetation	iii. Risk determin n up-to- maps of inant vegetation species and gro	equirements, with more frequency inspections for highest risk are as, as iv. Need, as independently determined by predictive modeling growth of vegetation growing and growing

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{QEIIc.}}$ E.II.c What are the inputs to scheduling vegetation inspections?

	i. At least annually- updated static maps of vegetation and environment	ii. Up to date, static maps of vegetation and environment, as well as data on annual growing conditions	iii. Predictive modeling of vegetation growth	iv. Predictive modeling of vegetation growth supplemented with continuous monitoring by sensors	v. Predictive modeling of vegetation growth supplemented with continuous monitoring by sensors and considering tree health and other vegetation risk factors for more frequent inspections in less healthy areas
Current Year		•			
by Start of 2023	0	0	•		\circ

EIII.

E.III Vegetation inspection effectiveness

Capability 23

QEIIIa.

E.III.a What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?

	Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists do not include all items required by statute and regulations	ii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations	iii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes vegetation types typically responsible for ignitions and near misses
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		0	•

QEIIIb.

E.III.b How are procedures and checklists determined?

	i. Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only	ii. Based on predictive modeling based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition	iii. Based on predictive modeling based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition and validated by independent experts	iv. Based on predictive modeling based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition and validated by independent experts, with dynamic adjustments in real time based on deficiencies found during inspection
Current Year	0	0	•	
by Start of 2023	0		•	

QEIIIc.

n fails to maintain tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	ii. Utility meet minimum statu and regulatory clearances are all lines and equipment	iii. Utility exc utory statutory a ound clearances ar	eeds minimum and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
n fails to maintain tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	ii. Utility meet minimum statu and regulatory clearances are all lines and equipment	iii. Utility exc utory statutory a ound clearances ar	eeds minimum and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
n fails to maintain tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	ii. Utility meet minimum statu and regulatory clearances are all lines and equipment	iii. Utility exc utory statutory a ound clearances ar	eeds minimum and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
n fails to maintain tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	ii. Utility meet minimum statu and regulatory clearances are all lines and equipment	iii. Utility exc utory statutory a ound clearances ar	eeds minimum and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
n fails to maintain tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	ii. Utility meet minimum statu and regulatory clearances are all lines and equipment	iii. Utility exc utory statutory a ound clearances ar	eeds minimum and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
n fails to maintain tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	ii. Utility meet minimum statu and regulatory clearances ard all lines and equipment	iii. Utility exc utory statutory a ound clearances ar	eeds minimum and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
n fails to maintain tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	ii. Utility meet minimum statu and regulatory clearances ard all lines and equipment	iii. Utility exc utory statutory a ound clearances ar	eeds minimum and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	and regulatory clearances are all lines and equipment	utory statutory a ound clearances ar	and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
tutory and regulatory around all lines and quipment	and regulatory clearances are all lines and equipment	utory statutory a ound clearances ar	and regulatory bund all lines and ipment
nimum statutor	0		
nimum statutor			•
	y or regulatory cleara		
0		•	
le clearances a	round lines and equip	ment?	
n risk modeling	ii. Ignition and propagation r modeling		of the above
0	0		•
\circ	•		0
		ii. Ignition and propagation modeling	0 0

E.IV.e A	Are community	organizations	engaged in	setting local	clearances and	protocols?
----------	---------------	---------------	------------	---------------	----------------	------------

	i	. No	ii. Y	es
Current Year		0	()
by Start of 2023				
QEIVf. E.IV.f Does the utility remo	ve vegetation was	ste along its right of	way across the enti	e grid?
	i	. No	ii. Y	es
Current Year		0		
by Start of 2023		0		
Current Year by Start of 2023	0	0	0	•
QEIVh. E.IV.h Does the utility work	with local landov	vners to provide a c	ost-effective use for	cutting
vegetation?				
vegetation?	i	. No	ii. Y	es
_	i	. No	ii. Y	
Current Year	i	0		
_	with partners to i	o o dentify new cost-eff	ective uses for veget	

0

Current Year

by Start of 2023

E.V Vegetation fall-in mitigation

	i. Utility does not remove vegetation outside of right of way	ii. Utility removes some vegetation outside of right of ways	iii. Utility systematically removes vegetation outside of right of way	iv. Utility systematically removes vegetation outside of right of way, informing relevant communities of removal
Current Year	0	0	0	•
y Start of 2023	0	0	0	•
QEVb. E.V.b How is potential v	egetation that may po	ose a threat identifie	d?	
	i. No specific process in place to systematically identify trees likely to pose a risk	ii. Based on the height of trees with potential to make contact with electric lines and equipment	iii. Based on the probability and consequences of impact on electric lines and equipment as determined by risk modeling	iv. Based on the probability and consequences of impact on electric lines and equipment as determined by risk modeling, as well as regular and accurate systematic inspections for high-risk trees outside the right of way or environmental and climatological conditions contributing to increased risk
urrent Year	0	0	0	•
/ Start of 2023	0	0	0	•
QEVc.	und with conneration	fue no the element in its	w2	
E.V.c Is vegetation remo				Vec
	i.	No	ii.	Yes
E.V.c Is vegetation remo	i.		ii.	Yes •
Surrent Year	i.	No	11.	•
urrent Year Start of 2023 QEVd.	nove vegetation waste	No	ii.	•

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

QEVe.

E.V.e How long after cutting vegetation does the utility remove vegetation waste outside its right of way?

i. Not at all ii. Longer than 1 week iii. Within 1 week or less iv. On the same day

Current Year				•
y Start of 2023		\circ		•
QEVf.				
	ork with local landowr	ners to provide a co	st-effective use for (cutting
	i.	No	ii. `	Yes
urrent Year		0	(
Start of 2023		0	(
QEVg.				
	vork with partners to ic nental impacts and em			etation, taking into
	i.	No	ii. `	Yes
		0	(•
ırrent Year				
Start of 2023		on maintena		
Start of 2023	for vegetatio	on maintena		
urrent Year y Start of 2023 EVI. E.VI QA/QC Capability 26 QEVIa. E.VI.a How is contract	for vegetatio			
y Start of 2023 EVI. E.VI QA/QC Capability 26	for vegetatio	ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed	iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semi- automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g., LiDAR scans,	iv. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g. LiDAR scans,
Start of 2023 EVI. E.VI QA/QC Capability 26	i. Lack of controls for auditing work completed, including inspections, for employees or	ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and	iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semiautomated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g.,	iv. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g.

		. No			II.	Yes
Current Year		0				•
y Start of 2023						•
QEVIc. E.VI.c How frequently is performance and inspec		used to iden	tify defic	iencies	in quality (of work
performance and mopeo		ii. Sporadically	iii. On an bas		iv. Regularl	ly v. Real-time
Current Year	0	0	0)	•	0
y Start of 2023		0	C)	•	0
QEVId. E.VI.d How is work and i	inspections that do i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC infor used to ide systemic defic	mation is entify iencies in rk and	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re- training	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses
E.VI.d How is work and i	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	ii. QA/QC infor used to ide systemic defic quality of wo	mation is entify iencies in rk and	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re- training	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend plased on	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training
E.VI.d How is work and i	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	ii. QA/QC infor used to ide systemic defic quality of we	mation is entify iencies in rk and	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re- training	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training
•	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC infor used to ide systemic defic quality of we inspection	mation is entify iencies in ork and ons	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and red training weak	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses
E.VI.d How is work and in urrent Year y Start of 2023 QEVIE. E.VI.e Are workforce ma	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC infor used to ide systemic defic quality of we inspection	mation is entify iencies in ork and ons	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and red training weak	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses
E.VI.d How is work and interpretation of the control of the contro	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC infor used to ide systemic defic quality of we inspection	mation is entify iencies in ork and ons	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and red training weak	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses

F. Grid operations and protocols

F.I Protective equipment and device settings Capability 27

QF/a. F.I.a How are grid elements adjusted during high threat weather conditions?

	i. Utility does not make changes to adjustable equipment in response to high wildfire threat conditions	ii. Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions	iii. Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions and monitors near misses	iv. Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions based on risk mapping and monitors near misses
Current Year	0	0		•
by Start of 2023	0	0		•
QFIb. F.I.b Is there an automate effectiveness? Clarification: For clarification automation in Table 2 of to core; (iii) corresponds to least	on on level of automati he Maturity Model. (i) ir	on please refer to the	e 'level of systematiz	ation and
	i. No automated proc	ess ii. Partially auto	omated process iii. F	ully automated process
Current Year	0	(•	0
by Start of 2023		(•	0
elements?	i.	No	ii.	Yes
Current Year		0		•
by Start of 2023		0		•
F.II Incorpora Capability 28 QFIIa. F.II.a Does the utility have beyond current or voltage	e a clearly explained	process for determ		perate the grid
Current Year	1. 100		11. 1	
by Start of 2023				

	i.	No		ii. Yes
Current Year)		•
by Start of 2023				•
QFIIc. F.II.c Does the utility umaintenance, rebuild, reviewed?				ry, and is that model iii. Modeling is used, and the
	i. Modeling is not		ig is used , but not by external experts	model is evaluated by externa experts and verified by historical data
Current Year	0		•	0
y Start of 2023			•	
		ii. Only in	conditions that are	
	tility operate the grid	above rated voltag	ge and current lo	oad?
	i. During any cond	ii. Only in		oad? iii. Never
F.II.d When does the u		ii. Only in	conditions that are	
r.II.d When does the u	i. During any conc	ii. Only in	conditions that are	
Current Year by Start of 2023 FIII. PSPS of Capability 29	i. During any cond	ii. Only in unlikely	conditions that are to cause wildfire	iii. Never
QFIII. When does the uncertainty Start of 2023 FIII. PSPS OF Capability 29 QFIII. F.III. A How effective is	i. During any cond	ii. Only in unlikely	conditions that are to cause wildfire	erally iv. PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer that being 25% of predictions being
Current Year by Start of 2023 FIII. PSPS of Capability 29	i. During any cond p. model and PSPS event forecast i. PSPS event frequently	ii. Only in unlikely ditions iii. Only in unlikely ditions. d consequing? ii. PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer than 50% of predictions being false	iii. PSPS event gen forecasted accurately with fewer 33% of predictions	erally iv. PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer that being 25% of predictions being

QFIIIb.

	i. Affected customers are poorly communicated to, with a significant portion not communicated to at all	ii. PSPS event are communicated to >95% of affected customers and >99% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action	iii. PSPS event are communicated to >98% of affected customers and >99.5% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action	iv. PSPS event are communicated to >99% of affected customers and >99.9% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action	v. PSPS event are communicated to >99.9% of affected customers and 100% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action
Current Year	0	•			
by Start of 2023	0	0	•	0	0
QFIIIc. F.III.c During PSPS eve	nts, what percen	nt of customers c	omplain?		
	i. 1% or m	nore	ii. Less than 1%	ili. Les	s than 0.5%
Current Year			\circ		•
by Start of 2023	0				•
QFIIId. F.III.d During PSPS even	ents, does the ut	ility's website go	down?		
Current Year		i. No		ii. Yes	
		i. No			
		● ● average downtim	iii. Less than 0.5	iv. Less than 0.25	v. Less than 0.1
by Start of 2023 QFIIIe. F.III.e During PSPS eve		●●	iii. Less than 0.5	•	v. Less than 0.1 hours
	i. More than 1 ho	average downtim our ii. Less than 1 hour	iii. Less than 0.5 hours	iv. Less than 0.25 hours	hours
by Start of 2023 QFIIIe. F.III.e During PSPS even	i. More than 1 ho	average downtim	iii. Less than 0.5 hours	iv. Less than 0.25 hours	hours •
QFIIIe. F.III.e During PSPS even Current Year by Start of 2023 QFIIIf. F.III.f Are specific resor	i. More than 1 ho	average downtime our ii. Less than 1 hour of customers to all, batteries, etc.)?	iii. Less than 0.5 hours	iv. Less than 0.25 hours	hours o
QFIIIe. F.III.e During PSPS even Current Year by Start of 2023 QFIIIf. F.III.f Are specific resor	i. More than 1 ho	average downtim	iii. Less than 0.5 hours	iv. Less than 0.25 hours	hours o

FIV

F.IV Protocols for PSPS invitation

QFIVa.

Current Year

by Start of 2023

F.IV.a Does the utility h	ave explicit thresholds	itor activating a P	5423	
	i. Utility has no clearly expl a threshold for PSPS activa		exy wh ma ris PS icit policies and r the thresholds S is activated as a of	Utility has explicit policies and planation for the thresholds above planation for the thresholds above planation for the thresholds above planation is activated, but aintains grid in sufficiently low lead to a condition to not require any activity, though may deergize specific circuits upon the tection of damaged condition electrical lines and equipment, contact with foreign objects
Current Year	0			0
by Start of 2023	0			
QFIVb. F.IV.b Which of the folloall that apply	owing does the utility t	ake into account w		PS decisions? Select
	i. SME	opinion	circuits for which I	PSPS should be activated and is lidated by SMEs
Current Year		•		✓
by Start of 2023		•		•
QFIVc. F.IV.c Under which circ		. When circuit presents a	iii. When equipment come into contact v foreign objects posi	has vith
Current Year	⊘	•	●	•
by Start of 2023	₽	•		•
QFIVd. F.IV.d Given the conditi PSPS events affecting Clarification: For the 'Cur of 2023' response option	more than 10,000 peop rent Year' response opt	ole to occur in the colon, please take "the old year" as 2023. In sufficiently low risk will not be required, and require de- energization	coming year? coming year" as	

 \bigcirc 0

by Start of 2023

F.V Protocols for PSPS re-energization

Capability 31

			=
	i. Inadequate process for	ii. Existing process for accurate	
	inspecting de- energized sections of the grid prior to re- energization		
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	
QFVb. F.V.b How automated is energization?	s the process for inspectin	g de-energized sections (of the grid prior to re-
Clarification: For explana automation' in Table 2 of	ation on level of automation the Maturity Model. (i) in this level 3; and (iv) corresponds	s case corresponds to level	systematization and I 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1
	i. Manual process, not automated at all aut	ii. Partially iii. Mo tomated (<50%) automated	
Current Year	0	0	
by Start of 2023	0	0	
	i. Longer than 24	tion threshold?	our grid from a PSPS once v. Within 12 hours v. Within 8 hours
Current Year	0 0	0	•
Current Year by Start of 2023	0 0	0	
by Start of 2023 QFVd.	lerstanding of probability	of ignitions after PSPS ev	ents does the utility have
by Start of 2023 QFVd. F.V.d What level of und		of ignitions after PSPS ev	iii. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of ignition risk following re- energization, by asset, validated by historical data and near

F.VI Ignition prevention and suppression

Capability 32

_	_	 -
		 1-
()	_	12

F.VI.a Does the utility have defined policies around the role of workers in suppressing ignitions?

	i. Utility has no polic what crews' roles are ignitions	in suppressing poli	ii. Utilities have explici cies about the role of cr the site of ignition	t about the role ews at contractors a	ave explicit policies e of crews, including and subcontractors, site of ignition
Current Year	0		0		•
by Start of 2023					•
QFVIb. F.VI.b What training ar	nd tools are provi	ii. Training and communications tools are provided to immediately report ignitions caused by	iii. All criteria in option (ii) met; In addition, suppression tools and training to suppress small	iv. All criteria in option (iii) met; In addition, communication tools function without cell reception and training by	v. All criteria in option (iv) met and
	i. Crews are	workers or in immediate vicinity of	workers or in finding immediate vicinity of	suppression professionals is	apply to contractors as well as utility
Current Year	i. Crews are untrained	workers or in	workers or in	suppression	apply to contractors

QFVIc.

F.VI.c In the events where workers have encountered an ignition, have any Cal/OSHA reported injuries or fatalities occurred in in the last year?

<u>Clarification</u>: For this year, please identify whether any major injuries or fatalities have occurred in 2020. For three years from now, please specify whether you think there is a chance that major injuries or fatalities could occur in 2023.

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	0
by Start of 2023	•	0

QFVId.

F.VI.d Does the utility provide training to other workers at other utilities and outside the utility industry on best practices to minimize, report and suppress ignitions?

<u>Clarification</u>: An example of workers outside utility industry might be workers at a vegetation management company who prune trees near utility equipment

	i. No		ii. Yes
rrent Year	0		•
Start of 2023	0		•
5. Data g	overnance		
/. ≧ I. Data coll	ection and cura	otion	
Capability 33)		
Cla			
Gla. .l.a Does the utilitv ha	ve a centralized database o	f situational, operational, a	and risk data?
arification: Question is	asking whether utility centralize		
single database			
	i. No		ii. Yes
rent Year	0		•
Start of 2023	0		•
~ · ·			
Glb. .l.b is the utility able to	o use advanced analytics o	n its centralized database	of situational
perational, and risk da	ata to make operational and	investment decisions?	
	, advanced analytics refers to a sufficiently reliable way to cre		
	n operational or investment de		and nonstio pictare of
		"	V
	i. No	ii. Yes, but only for short term decision making	iii. Yes, for both short term and long-term decision making
rent Year	0	0	•
Start of 2023			•
Glc.			
	ollect data from all sensored	d portions of electric lines,	equipment, weather
ations, etc.?			
	i. No		ii. Yes
rent Year	0		•
Start of 2023	0		•

	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023			•
QGle. G.I.e Does the utility ide	ntify highest priority additio	nal data sources to	improve decision making?
	i. No	ii. Yes	iii. Yes, with plans to incorporate these into centralized database o situational, operational and risk da
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		0	•
QGIf. G.I.f Does the utility share California and beyond?	re best practices for databas	se management an	
	i. No	ii. Yes	iii. Yes, with specific processes to so in place
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0		•
GII. G.II Data tran Capability 34	sparency and a	nalytics	
QGlla. G.II.a Is there a single do processes?	cument cataloguing all fire	-related data and al	gorithms, analyses, and data
G.II.a Is there a single do	cument cataloguing all fire	-related data and al	gorithms, analyses, and data
G.II.a Is there a single do processes?		-related data and al	
G.II.a Is there a single do processes? Current Year	i. No	-related data and al	ii. Yes
G.II.a Is there a single do processes? Current Year by Start of 2023	i. No o tion of the sources, cleaning		ii. Yes
G.II.a Is there a single do processes? Current Year by Start of 2023 QGIIb. G.II.b Is there an explana	i. No o tion of the sources, cleaning		ii. Yes

by Start of 2023

QGIIc.

G.II.c Are all analyses, algorithms, and data processing explained and documented? Is there a system for sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions?

	i. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are not documented	ii. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented	iii. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented and explained	iv. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented and explained, including sensitivities for each type of analysis and data
Current Year	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023	0		•	0

QGIId.

G.II.d Is there a system for sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions?

	i. No system capable of sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions	ii. System is capable of sharing across at least two levels of permissions, including a.) utility- regulator permissions, and b.) first responder permissions	iii. System is capable of sharing across at least three levels of permissions, including a.) utility-regulator permissions, b.) first responder permissions, and c.) public data sharing
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	\bigcirc	•

QGIIe.

G.II.e Are the most relevant wildfire related data algorithms disclosed?

<u>Clarification</u>: Question is asking whether <u>all</u> algorithms or decision making process used to inform decision making around investment choices, risk mitigation choices, and emergency response are disclosed

	i. No	ii. Yes, disclosed to regulators and other relevant stakeholders upon request	iii. Yes, disclosed publicly in WMP upon request	iv. Disclosed publicly as information becomes available (regardless of regulatory request)
Current Year	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0		•

GIII.

G.III Near-miss tracking

Capability 35

QGIIIa.

G.III.a Does the utility track near miss data for all near misses with wildfire ignition potential?

Clarification: Recall that near miss is defined as an event with significant probability of ignition, including wires down, contacts with objects, line slap, events with evidence of significant heat generation, and other events that cause sparking or have the potential to cause ignition.

	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year	0	•
y Start of 2023	0	•
QGIIIb.		
	data captured, is the utility able to	simulate wildfire potential given an
	aracteristics, fuel loads, and moistu	
	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year	0	•
Start of 2023	0	•
·		
QGIIIc.		
	ure data related to the specific mod	e of failure when capturing near- miss
lata?	are data related to the openio med	o or randro whom ouptaining floar fillioo
	i. No	ii. Yes
ırrent Year	i. No	ii. Yes
	0	•
urrent Year Start of 2023	0	•
Start of 2023	0	•
Start of 2023 QGIIId.		
Start of 2023 QGIIId. G.III.d Is the utility able to		•
Start of 2023 QGIIId. G.III.d Is the utility able to		
Start of 2023 QG///d. G.III.d Is the utility able to		
Start of 2023 OGIIId. S.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics?	predict the probability of a near mis	● ● s in causing an ignition based on a set
Start of 2023 OGIIId. G.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics?	predict the probability of a near mis	● s in causing an ignition based on a set ii. Yes
Start of 2023 OGIIId. G.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics?	predict the probability of a near mis	● ● s in causing an ignition based on a set ii. Yes
Start of 2023 OGIIId. G.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics?	predict the probability of a near mis	● s in causing an ignition based on a set ii. Yes
Start of 2023 QGIIId. G.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics? Trent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near mis	● s in causing an ignition based on a set ii. Yes
Start of 2023 QGIIId. G.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics? Trent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near mis	s in causing an ignition based on a set
QG/I/d. G.III.d Is the utility able to of event characteristics? urrent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near mis	s in causing an ignition based on a set
QG/I/d. G.III.d Is the utility able to of event characteristics? urrent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near mis i. No data from near misses to change gr	s in causing an ignition based on a set ii. Yes o o iid operation protocols in real time?
Start of 2023 QG///d. G.III.d Is the utility able to of event characteristics? Urrent Year Start of 2023 QG///e. G.III.e Does the utility use	predict the probability of a near mis	s in causing an ignition based on a set
QG///d. G.III.d Is the utility able to of event characteristics? arrent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near mis i. No data from near misses to change gr	s in causing an ignition based on a set ii. Yes o o iid operation protocols in real time?

GIV.

G.IV Data sharing with the research community

Capability 36

		ii. Utility mak o	es required	iii. Utility makes required
	i. Utility fails to make disclosures	disclosures, but data beyond wh	does not share	disclosures and shares data beyond what is required
Current Year	0	C)	•
by Start of 2023	0	C)	•
the government) or to inc	n engage in research? arch' broadly refers to collabo dependent research where th , the government or the publ	ie findings are n		
		ity participates in porative research	iii. Utility funds a participates in bo independent an collaborative resea	th possible, is abstracted and applied to other
Current Year	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023				•
QG/Vc. G.IV.c What subjects d	oes utility research addres	ii. Utility ignited w		
	i. Utility ignited wildfires	readotion	nitiatives	iii. None of the above
Current Year	i. Otility ignited wildfires	reduction		iii. None of the above
Current Year by Start of 2023	1. Utility ignited wildfires			iii. None of the above
OGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility presearch? Clarification: Promoting by	promote best practices bas best practices could take vari	ed on latest incomes ous forms – for	dependent scie	ntific and operational g and publicly releasing a
OGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility presearch? Clarification: Promoting by report or detailing results	promote best practices bas	ed on latest incomes ous forms – for	dependent scie	ntific and operational g and publicly releasing a
OGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility presearch? Clarification: Promoting by report or detailing results	promote best practices bas best practices could take vari a achieved when a new methor	ed on latest incomes ous forms – for	dependent scie	ntific and operational g and publicly releasing a which techniques were
OGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility presearch? Clarification: Promoting by report or detailing results more or less effective	promote best practices bas best practices could take vari a achieved when a new method	ed on latest incomes ous forms – for	dependent scie	ntific and operational g and publicly releasing a which techniques were

G.IV.a Does the utility make disclosures and share data?

Н.

H. Resource allocation methodology

H.I Scenario analysis across different risk levels

Capability 37

ΩF	4	1	a	
a,	•	,	<u>~</u>	

H.I.a For what risk scenarios is the utility able to provide projected cost and total risk reduction potential?

	Utility does not project proposed initiatives or costs across different levels of risk scenarios	ii. Utility provides an accurate high- risk reduction and low risk reduction scenario, and the projected cost and total risk reduction potential	iii. Utility provides an accurate high- risk reduction and low risk reduction scenario, in addition to their proposed scenario, and the projected cost and total risk reduction potential
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	•

QHIb.

H.I.b For what level of granularity is the utility able to provide projections for each scenario?

	i. Territory-level or greater	ii. Region level	iii. Circuit level	iv. Span level	v. Asset level
Current Year	0	•	0		0
by Start of 2023			•		

QHIc.

H.I.c Does the utility include a long term (e.g., 6-10 year) risk estimate taking into account macro factors (climate change, etc.) as well as planned risk reduction initiatives in its scenarios?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

QHId.

H.I.d Does the utility provide an estimate of impact on reliability factors in its scenarios? Clarification: Reliability factors here refer to factors impacting reliability of service to customers

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

H.II Presentation of relative risk spend efficiency for portfolio of initiatives

Capability 38

	i.	No	ii	ii. Yes		
Current Year			•			
by Start of 2023		0		•		
QHIIb. H.II.b What initiative	es are captured in the r	anking of risk spend	efficiency?			
	i. Common commercial initiatives	ii. All commercial initiatives	iii. All commercial initiatives and emerging initiatives	iv. None of the above		
Current Year	0	0	•	0		
by Start of 2023	0	0	•	\circ		
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility	y include figures for pro cumenting all assumpti	ons (e.g. useful life, d	liscount rate, etc.)	?		
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly do	cumenting all assumpti	ons (e.g. useful life, o	liscount rate, etc.)	Yes		
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly do	cumenting all assumpti	ons (e.g. useful life, d	liscount rate, etc.)	?		
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly does Current Year by Start of 2023 QHIId. H.II.d Does the utility	cumenting all assumpti	ons (e.g. useful life, o	in each particular	Yes o initiative?		
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly does Current Year by Start of 2023 QHIId. H.II.d Does the utility	y provide an explanatio	ons (e.g. useful life, o	in each particular ty of service to custo	Yes o initiative?		
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly does Current Year by Start of 2023 QHIId. H.II.d Does the utility	y provide an explanation ty factors here refer to factors	n of their investment ctors impacting reliabilities.	in each particular ty of service to custo iii. Y over the expected estimates	Yes initiative? omers fes, including the expected erall reduction in risk and ates of impact on reliability		

H.II.e At what level of granularity is the utility able to provide risk efficiency figures?

ii. Region level

iii. Circuit level

iv. Span level

v. Asset level

i. Territory-level or greater

Current Year		•	C)	\bigcirc	
by Start of 2023	0	\bigcirc	•		\bigcirc	\circ
HIII.						
	e for dotorm	ninina r	iek e	nand of	ficia	new of
H.III Process		_		penu en	HCIE	elicy of
vegetation m	ıanagemen	t initiati	ves			
Capability 39	9					
QHIIIa.						
H.III.a How accurate of	a risk spend efficie	ncy calculation	on can th	e utility provi	de?	
	1 110000 1 000 0000 01000					200 1 10PG 2 10 200 2 2000000 100
	 i. Utility has no clear understanding of the 	ii. Utility has an	accurate	iii. Utility has acci	urate	iv. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding
	relative risk spend efficiency of various	relative unders the cost and effe		quantitative understanding of co		of cost, including sensitivities and
	clearances and types of vegetation management	to produce a re spend effic	liable risk	effectiveness to pro	duce a	effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend
	initiatives	estimat		efficiency estim		efficiency estimate
Current Year	0	\circ		•		
by Start of 2023	0			•		0
	i. Less granular than regional, or not at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit	hasad iv Sr	oan-base	d v. Asset-based
Current Year	regional, or not at all	ii. ixegioriai	III. Circuit	-baseu IV. Sp		V. Asset-based
by Start of 2023	0	0	•)	0	0
en 🗸 statistationes sons (imparament						
QHIIIc.						
H.III.c How frequently	are estimates update	ed?				
	ı					
	i. Never	ii. Le	ss frequently	than annually	iii. Ann	ually or more frequently
Current Year	0		C			•
by Start of 2023			C			•
QHIIId.	managamant initiat	ivos doss 45-	4:11:4 !	oludo within	to ove	luction?
QHIIId. H.III.d What vegetation	ı management initiat	ives does the	utility ir	nclude within	its eva	luation?
	ı management initiat	ives does the	utility ir	nclude within	its eva	
	i. None	ives does the	utility ir		its eva v. All	luation? v. All, supported by independent testing
						v. All, supported by

0	1	11	1	10
Q	П	1	П	E.

H.III.e	Can the utility	y evaluate risk	reduction	synergies	from c	ombination	of various	initiatives?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	•

HIV.

H.IV Process for determining risk spend efficiency of system hardening initiatives

Capability 40

QHIVa.

H.IV.a How accurate of a risk spend efficiency calculation can the utility provide?

	i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of hardening initiatives	ii. Utility has an accurate relative understanding of the cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate	iii. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate	iv. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of cost, including sensitivities and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	•	

QHIVb.

H.IV.b At what level can estimates be prepared?

	i. Less granular than regional, or not at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-based	v. Asset-based
Current Year	0	0	•	0	
by Start of 2023				•	

QHIVc.

H.IV.c How frequently are estimates updated?

	i. Never	ii. Less frequently than annually	iii. Annually or more frequently
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	•

QHIVd.

H.IV.d What grid hardening initiatives are included in the utility risk spend efficiency analysis?

		ii. Some commercially available grid rdening initiatives	iii. Most commercially available grid hardening initiatives	iv. All commercial available grid hardening initiativ	those initiatives
Current Year	0	0	\circ	\circ	•
y Start of 2023	0		0		•
QHIVe. H.IV.e Can the utility ev	/aluate risk reduction	on effects fro	m the combinat	ion of various	initiatives?
		i. No		ii. Ye	s
Current Year		•		0	
y Start of 2023		0		•	
HV.	n-wida initi	ative al	location	methode	ology
Capability 41 QHVa.			nitiatives based	on risk-spend	l efficiency
Capability 41 QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do			iii. A	ccurate RSE	I efficiency iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives
Capability 41 QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do		te capital to i ii. Utility cor estimates of R	iii. A estimate: are use capital a categor nsiders choose th SE when managem	ccurate RSE s for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within	iv. Accurate RSE
Capability 41 QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)?	es the utility alloca	te capital to i ii. Utility cor estimates of R	iii. A estimate: are use capital a categor nsiders choose th SE when managem	eccurate RSE s for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ies only (e.g. to e best vegetation nent management	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid
Capability 41 QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)?	es the utility alloca	ii. Utility corestimates of Fallocating of	iii. A estimate: are use capital a categor nsiders choose th SE when managem	ccurate RSE s for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ies only (e.g. to e best vegetation nent management d initiative)	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid
H.V Portfolio Capability 41 QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)? Current Year by Start of 2023 QHVb. H.V.b What information	i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RSE	ii. Utility corestimates of Fallocating o	iii. A estimate: are use capital a categor nsiders choose th SE when managem capital an	ccurate RSE s for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ies only (e.g. to e best vegetation nent management d initiative)	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid hardening)
Capability 41 QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)? Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RSE	ii. Utility corestimates of Reinto accour	iii. A estimate: are use capital a categor nsiders choose th SE when managem capital an	courate RSE s for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ies only (e.g. to e best vegetation ment management d initiative) itiative, iii. Specifi at the ass specific a	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid hardening)
Capability 41 QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)? Current Year y Start of 2023	i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RSE	ii. Utility corestimates of Reinto accour	iii. A estimate: are use capital : categor nsiders choose th SE when managem capital an output ecific information by in uding state of equipme ation where initiative w	courate RSE s for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ies only (e.g. to e best vegetation ment management d initiative) itiative, iii. Specifi at the ass specific a	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid hardening) ates? c information by initiative et level, including state of ssets and location where

	i. Utility does not verify estimates		ates are verified by perimental pilot data	historical or exp and confirmed	tes are verified by erimental pilot data d by independent ner utilities in CA
Current Year	0		•		0
by Start of 2023			\circ		•
QHVd. H.V.d Does the utility ta making spending decis		on impact on safety	, reliability, and	other priorit	ies when
	i.	No		ii. Yes	
Current Year		0		•	
y Start of 2023		0		•	
QHVIa. H.VI.a How does the ut		aluate the efficacy ii. Utility uses pilots and measures direct reduction	iii. Utility uses pil d measures direct r	iv. U o ts and followe eduction meas	Itility uses pilots, d by in-field testing, suring reduction in n events and near-
www.mt Vo.a.	i. No program in place	in ignition events	near-misse:	S	misses.
urrent Year y Start of 2023	0	0	•		0
QHVIb. H.VI.b How does the uti Clarification: TCO is total operation and maintenand evaluation of risk spend o	cost of ownership ov ce. In this question, t efficiency, while risk re	er the expected use otal cost of ownershi	ful life of an asse p refers to the sp l separately.	et, including poend portion	urchase, of the
current Year	I. No prog		ii. Utility uses total cost of ownership		
y Start of 2023			•		
QHVIc. H.VI.c At what level of g	-	-	-	w wildfire ini iv. Span	tiatives? v. Asset
Current Year			•		

0

by Start of 2023

0	1	11	1	1	-	ı
Q	г	7	v	1	u	

H.VI.d Are the reviews of innovative initiatives audited by independent parties?

<u>Clarification</u>: Reviews here refer to findings evaluating innovative initiatives which would assist another utility in making a decision about whether to implement that initiative and help them determine how to do so effectively. Criteria might include but are not limited to the following: technical feasibility, effectiveness, risk spend efficiency, ease of implementation and comparison to alternative options

	i. None	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	•

QHVIe.

H.VI.e Does the utility share the findings of its evaluation of innovative initiatives with other utilities, academia, and the general public?

	i. None	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

1.

I. Emergency planning and preparedness

11

I.I Wildfire plan integrated with overall disaster/ emergency plan

Capability 43

Qlla.

I.l.a Is the wildfire plan integrated with overall disaster and emergency plans?

<u>Clarification</u>: If the utility's wildfire mitigation plan is an integrated component of an overall disaster and emergency plan then the overall plan considers at least the compound effects of risks in both directions – for example, the additional risk of fire posed by an earthquake and how to manage any compounding effects

	i. No	ii. Wildfire plan is a component of overall plan	iii. Wildfire plan is an integrated component of overall plan
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	•

QIIb.

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•
0.11		
Q//c.	nfounding events or multiple simultaneo	us disasters considered in the
planning process?		ao a.o
	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
by Start of 2023		•
0114		
Qlld.	ted with disaster and emergency prepare	dness plans of other relevant
	FIRE, Fire Safe Councils, etc.)?	uness plans of other relevant
	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•
stakeholders?	e a leading role in planning, coordinating	g, and mograming plane across
	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•
111		
III. Dian to vo	atava aamilaa aftav will	dfire releted enters
	estore service after wild	affre related outage
Capability 44	!	
QIIIa.		
	and actionable procedures in place to re	store service after a wildfire related
outage?		
	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	1. 100	II. 165
by Start of 2023		•
by Start of 2023		

0	11	11	1	
Q	П	7	D	

I.II.b Are employee and subcontractor crews trained in, and aware of	plans
--	-------

		i. No		ii. Yes	
Current Year		0		•	
y Start of 2023		0		•	
Q///c. I.II.c To what level are	procedures to res	store service aft	er a wildfire-rela	ted outage cust	omized?
	i. Territory-wide	ii. Region level	iii. Circuit level	iv. Span level	v. Asset leve
Current Year	0	0	0	•	0
y Start of 2023			0	•	
I.II.d Is the customized	d procedure to res	tore service bas	sed on topograp	hy, vegetation, a	and
3.	l procedure to res		sed on topograp		and
I.II.d Is the customized	d procedure to res	i. No	sed on topograp	hy, vegetation, a	and
QIIId.					
	d procedure to res	store service bas	sed on topograp	hy, vegetation, a	and

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

IIII.

I.III Emergency community engagement during and after wildfire

Capability 45

QIIIIa.

I.III.a Does the utility provide clear and substantially complete communication of available information relevant to affected customers?

<u>Clarification</u>: Does the utility provide all available information which could be relevant to affected customers in a way that customers can receive in real time and easily understand?

	i. No			ii. Yes		iii. Yes, along with referrals to other agencies	
urrent Year	0			0			•
/ Start of 2023	0			0			•
Q////b. .III.b What percent of a	affected custome	rs receive (•	etails of a		informa 9% of	tion? v. >99.9% of
	i. ≤95% of customers	customer		stomers		mers	customers
urrent Year	0	0		\circ	(\supset	•
Start of 2023	0	0			(•
QIIIIc. III.c What percent of a nformation?	i. ≤99% of medical baseline customers	baseline cu ii. >99% of me baseline custo	iii. : edical medi	eceive com >99.5% of cal baseline ustomers	iv. >99 medical	9.9% of baseline omers	available v. 100% of medical baseline customers
ırrent Year	0	0			(•	0
Start of 2023					6		0
QIIIId. III.d How does the util outages to customers?	_	ty of relevant in and links on e telephone	ii. Through avevacuation info website and number, and response	vailability of rel	levant inks on none aster		ed to power
rrent Year	0			•		300000 10 000000	0
Start of 2023	0			•			0
y Start of 2023 Q////eIII.e How does the util	ity engage with o	other emerç	gency mana		gencies		emergend
	i. Utility does not enga agencies			gages with ot an ad hoc ma	her	tionable e for engagi	stablished protoco ng with emergency nent organizations
urrent Year	0						•
Start of 2023	0			\bigcirc			•

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•
IIV. Protocols	in place to learn froi	m wildfire events
Capability 46	in place to learn noi	iii wiidiiic events
011/2		
	place to record the outcome of eme	
	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	O	•
Q//Vb. I.IV.b Is there a defined pro	ocess and staff responsible for inco	
Q//Vb. I.IV.b Is there a defined pro		
Q//Vb. I.IV.b Is there a defined pro plan?	ocess and staff responsible for inco	rporating learnings into emergend
QIIVb. I.IV.b Is there a defined proplan? Current Year	ocess and staff responsible for inco	rporating learnings into emergend
QIIVb. I.IV.b Is there a defined proplan? Current Year by Start of 2023	ocess and staff responsible for inco	rporating learnings into emergend ii. Yes
I.IV.b Is there a defined proplan? Current Year by Start of 2023	i. No on learnings and improvements, is	rporating learnings into emergendii. Yes
Q//Vb. I.IV.b Is there a defined proplan? Current Year by Start of 2023 Q//Vc. I.IV.c Once updated based	i. No on learnings and improvements, is	rporating learnings into emergendii. Yes
Q//Vb. I.IV.b Is there a defined proplan? Current Year by Start of 2023 Q//Vc. I.IV.c Once updated based	i. No on learnings and improvements, is veness?	rporating learnings into emergendii. Yes o o the updated plan tested using "di

I.IV.d Is there a defined process to solicit input from a variety of other stakeholders and incorporate learnings from other stakeholders into the emergency plan?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•

IV

I.V Processes for continuous improvement after wildfire and PSPS events

Capability 47

QIVa.

I.V.a Does the utility conduct an evaluation or debrief process after a wildfire?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

QIVb.

I.V.b Does the utility conduct a customer survey and utilize partners to disseminate requests for stakeholder engagement?

	i. No	ii. One or the other	iii. Both
Current Year	0	\circ	•
by Start of 2023	0	\circ	•

QIVc.

I.V.c In what other activities does the utility engage?

	i. None	ii. Public listening sessions	iii. Debriefs with partners	iv. Public listening sessions, debriefs with partners, and others
Current Year	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023			\circ	•

QIVd.

I.V.d Does the utility share with partners findings about what can be improved?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

QIVe.

I.V.e Are feedback and recommendations on potential improvements made public?

	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year	0	•
Start of 2023		•
	onduct proactive outreach to local agence on what can be improved?	ies and organizations to solicit
	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year	0	•
Start of 2023		•
Q/Vg. .V.g Does the utility l rom all stakeholders	have a clear plan for post-event listening ?	and incorporating lessons learned
	i. No	ii. Yes
rrent Year	i. No	ii. Yes ●
urrent Year v Start of 2023	70. 10.00	
Start of 2023 Q/Vh. V.h Does the utility to Clarification: Recomme	0	ons and report upon their impact? In customers, local agencies,
Start of 2023 2/Vh. V.h Does the utility to Clarification: Recomme	rack the implementation of recommendate and at the implementation of recommendations from the state of the st	ons and report upon their impact? In customers, local agencies,
Start of 2023 (IVh. V.h Does the utility to Elarification: Recommerganizations and othe	rack the implementation of recommendatendations here refer to recommendations from r stakeholders received following a wildfire o	● ions and report upon their impact? m customers, local agencies, r PSPS event
Q/Vh. V.h Does the utility to Clarification: Recomme organizations and othe utility to the util	rack the implementation of recommendatendations here refer to recommendations from r stakeholders received following a wildfire o	ions and report upon their impact? In customers, local agencies, It PSPS event
QIVh. V.h Does the utility to Clarification: Recomme organizations and other current Year Start of 2023 QIVi. V.i Does the utility h	rack the implementation of recommendatendations here refer to recommendations from r stakeholders received following a wildfire o	ions and report upon their impact? In customers, local agencies, If PSPS event ii. Yes iii. Yes iii. Yes
Start of 2023 QIVh. N. V.h Does the utility to Clarification: Recomme organizations and other arrent Year Start of 2023 QIVi. N. I. Does the utility hattilities and states to	rack the implementation of recommendatendations here refer to recommendations from stakeholders received following a wildfire of i. No	ions and report upon their impact? In customers, local agencies, If PSPS event ii. Yes iii. Yes iii. Yes
QIVh. V.h Does the utility to Clarification: Recomme organizations and other current Year Start of 2023 QIVi. V.i Does the utility h	rack the implementation of recommendate and ations here refer to recommendations from a stakeholders received following a wildfire of i. No	ions and report upon their impact? m customers, local agencies, r PSPS event ii. Yes o o iii. Yes

J. Stakeholder cooperation and community engagement

Current Year

J.I Cooperation and best practice sharing with other utilities

Capability 48

	i. No	ii. Yes, from other California utilities	ii. Yes, from other global utilities
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		0	•
QJIb. J.I.b Does the utility su	ccessfully adopt and imp	lement best practices identifi	ed from other utilities?
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		•
Current Year	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year by Start of 2023			•
QJId. J.I.d Does the utility sh venues/media?	are best practices and les	ssons via a consistent and pro	edictable set of ii. Yes
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		•

•

Start of 2023	0		•	
//f. .f Has the utility impl sure local applicabili		ess for testing lessons	learned from oth	er utilities to
	i. No		ii. Yes	
rent Year	0		•	
tart of 2023	0		•	
Capability 49 Ulla. Black Does the utility h	itiatives	mmunities on		
lationship with local (communities?			
ationship with local (ii. Yes	
•	i. No		ii. Yes	
elationship with local of the l	i. No			
ent Year tart of 2023 ///b. I.b Are there commu	i. No	here meaningful resistar arance)?	•	n response to
ent Year tart of 2023 IIIb. I.b Are there commu forts to mitigate fire r	i. No o o nities in HFTD areas wh isk (e.g. vegetation clea		● ● nce is expected in	n response to
rent Year Start of 2023 JIIb. II.b Are there commu	i. No nities in HFTD areas whisk (e.g. vegetation clea		ence is expected in	n response to
rent Year Start of 2023 JIIb. II.b Are there communiforts to mitigate fire rent Year Start of 2023 JIIc. II.c What percent of I	i. No nities in HFTD areas whisk (e.g. vegetation cleation cleation) i. No o	npliant with utility initiat	ii. Yes	tion
ent Year start of 2023 IIIb. I.b Are there communiforts to mitigate fire reservant of 2023 IIIc. I.c What percent of I anagement)?	i. No nities in HFTD areas whisk (e.g. vegetation cleation cleation) i. No andowners are non-con i. More than 5% ii. Les	mpliant with utility initiat sthan 5% iii. Less than 2%	ii. Yes iv. Less than 1%	tion v. Less than 0.5%
rent Year Start of 2023 JIIb. II.b Are there commuforts to mitigate fire researestart of 2023	i. No nities in HFTD areas whisk (e.g. vegetation cleation cleation) i. No o	npliant with utility initiat	ii. Yes	tion

	i. More than 5%	ii. Less than 5%	iii. Less than 2%	iv. Less than 1%	v. Less than 0.5%
Current Year		0	\circ	•	
by Start of 2023		0	\circ	•	
QJIIe.					
J.II.e Does the utility h					
>90% of the population cooperative relationsh		0 2 0		r agencies as h	aving a
cooperative relations	iip with those con	illiulliues III HF	D aleas)!		
		i. No		ii. Yes	
Current Year		0		•	
by Start of 2023				•	
QJIIf. J.II.f Does utility have population in HFTD are Clarification: For this year specify whether you exp	eas reaching out t ar, please identify v	o notify of risks whether the quest	, <mark>dangers or iss</mark> ion holds true for	ues in the past	year?
ороскуом.с. усы сл _ф	1			:: .V	
Current Year		i. No		ii. Yes	
		0		•	
by Start of 2023				•	
		0		•	
JIII.	rovide a plan to p	n LEP and	- nizations repres	pulation	
JIII. J.III Engage Capability 50 QJIIIa. J.III.a Can the utility p Proficiency (LEP) and	<i>O</i> rovide a plan to p	artner with orga	- nizations repres	pulation	
J.III Engage Capability 56 QJIIIa. J.III.a Can the utility p	<i>O</i> rovide a plan to p	artner with orga onal Needs (AFN	- nizations repres	pulation enting Limited	
JIII. J.III Engage Capability 50 QJIIIa. J.III.a Can the utility p Proficiency (LEP) and	ovide a plan to p Access & Function	artner with organal Needs (AFN	nizations repres) communities?	enting Limited	English
JIII. J.III Engage Capability 56 QJIIIa. J.III.a Can the utility p Proficiency (LEP) and Current Year by Start of 2023	ovide a plan to p Access & Function	artner with organal Needs (AFN	nizations repres) communities?	enting Limited	English
JIII. Engage Capability 56 QJIIIa. J.III.a Can the utility p Proficiency (LEP) and Current Year by Start of 2023 QJIIIb. J.III.b Can the utility o	ovide a plan to p Access & Function	artner with organal Needs (AFN	nizations repres) communities?	enting Limited	English
JIII. J.III Engage Capability 56 QJIIIa. J.III.a Can the utility p Proficiency (LEP) and Current Year by Start of 2023 QJIIIb. J.III.b Can the utility o	ovide a plan to p Access & Function	artner with organal Needs (AFN	nizations repres) communities?	enting Limited	English

Current Year	i. High risk areas	control	service areas	iv. None of the above
QJIVb. J.IV.b In what areas is t			encies iii. Throughout uti	litv
-,				8
by Start of 2023	0			•
Current Year	i. Utility does not sufficientl cooperate with suppression agencies		ates with costs by notifying	iii. Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working coperatively with them to detection ignitions, in addition to notifying them of ignitions as needed
QJIVa. J.IV.a What is the coope	erative model between	the utility and suppi	ession agen	cies?
JIV. J.IV. Collabo Capability 51	ration with e	mergency r	espons	se agencies
by Start of 2023	0			•
Current Year	i. No			ii. Yes
QJIIId. J.III.d Does the utility harisk to LEP & AFN comm		-updated action plar	n further redu	uce wildfire and PSPS
by Start of 2023	0			•
	0			•
Current Year				

QJIVc.

by Start of 2023

J.IV.c Does the utility accurately predict and communicate the forecasted fire propagation path using available analytics resources and weather data?

i. No ii. Yes

	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		•
QJIVd.			
200 - C - C	/ communicate fire paths to t	the community as requeste	ed?
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		•
QJIVe. J.IV.e Does the utility	work to assist suppression	crews logistically, where p	oossible?
			ii. Yes
	i No		
:urrent Year	i. No		
by Start of 2023 JV.		re mitigation p	
Stakeholder Capability &	oration on wildfi		
JV. J.V. Collabostakeholder Capability &	oration on wildfirs 52 e utility conduct substantial f	uel management?	lanning with
JV. J.V. Collabostakeholder Capability &	oration on wildfirs		lanning with
JV. J.V. Collabostakeholder Capability &	oration on wildfirs 52 e utility conduct substantial f	ii. Utility conducts fuel	lanning with

vegetative ecosy	stem across terri		sistent with
		tory that is con	
vegetative ecosy	stem across terri		sistent with
i. No		ii. Yes	
0		•	
s (e.g., fire safe co	uncils) to suppo	rt fuel managen	nent?
i. No		ii. Yes	
0		•	
		•	
nents?			
_			

Location: (32.779403686523, -117.13659667969)

Source: GeoIP Estimation



Verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey

Utilities shall complete the following verification, attached to a PDF of their electronic survey responses, following completion of the electronic survey. This document will be shared with the utilities for completion within one business day of completing the electronic survey.

Complete the following verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey submission:

(See Rule 1.11) (Where Applicant is a Corporation)

I am an officer of the applicant corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The responses in the attached survey are true of my own knowledge.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on _____2/11/2021 at _____at _____ San Diego _____, California.

(Date) (Name of city)

VP Electric System Operations, SDG&E
(Signature and Title of Corporate Officer)