

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**2020 WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN**  
**ATTACHMENT 4**  
**PG&E'S UTILITY SURVEY RESPONSES**

**Verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey**

Utilities shall complete the following verification, attached to a PDF of their electronic survey responses, following completion of the electronic survey. This document will be shared with the utilities for completion within one business day of completing the electronic survey.

Complete the following verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey submission:

(See Rule 1.11)  
(Where Applicant is a Corporation)

I am an officer of the applicant corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The responses in the attached survey are true of my own knowledge.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 6, 2020 at San Francisco, California.  
(Date) (Name of city)



Senior Vice President, Electric Operations

(Signature and Title of Corporate Officer)

## Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey – January 2020

[utility] Utility Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[CONTACT] -Contact Info-

- [CONTACT.r1] Utility Name Pacific Gas and Electric Company
  - [CONTACT.r2] Name Wade Greenacre
  - [CONTACT.r3] Email Address wade.greenacre@pge.com
  - [CONTACT.r4] Phone Number 4159738098
- 

[Q.A.1a] How sophisticated is utility's ability to estimate the risk of weather scenarios?

Clarification: Determining wildfire risk requires the utility to understand the probability of ignition and the consequences of such an ignition while taking various conditions into account (e.g., weather, fuel levels, etc.). Categorizing level of risk requires a set of calculations and judgements to group areas by wildfire risk level whereas quantitatively estimating risk refers to accurately quantifying risk on a continuous spectrum based on a host of wildfire risk drivers (e.g., as a function of ignition probability, propagation scenarios, and communities located in the propagation path).

- [Q.A.1a.r1] Today i. No clear ability to understand incremental risk under various weather scenarios
- [Q.A.1a.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Wildfire risk can be reliably determined based on weather and its impacts

[Q.A.1b] How are scenarios assessed?

Clarification: Per the instructions, please only indicate that you meet a given response option if you meet all the characteristics described within that response option). So, hypothetically, if you do support your scenarios assessment by historical data of incidents and near misses and conduct internal assessments, but don't have an independent expert assessment, you would select (ii).

- [Q.A.1b.r1] Today i. No formal assessment process
- [Q.A.1b.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Independent expert assessment

[Q.A.1c] How granular is utility's ability to model scenarios?

- [Q.A.1c.r1] Today ii. Regional
- [Q.A.1c.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit-based

[Q.A.1d] How automated is the tool?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.1d.r1] Today i. Not automated
- [Q.A.1d.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Not automated

[Q.A.1e] What additional information is used to estimate model weather scenarios and their risk?

- [Q.A.1e.r1] Today i. None
- [Q.A.1e.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Weather, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware

[Q.A.1f] To what extent is future change in climate taken into account for future risk estimation?

- [Q.A.1f.r1] Today i. Future climate change not accounted for in estimating future weather and resulting risk
  - [Q.A.1f.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Future risk estimates take into account generally higher risk across entire service territory due to changing climate
-

[Q.A.IIa] How is ignition risk calculated?

- [Q.A.IIa.r1] Today ii. Tools and processes can reliably categorize the risk of ignition across the grid into at least two categories based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns
- [Q.A.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Tools and processes can quantitatively and accurately assess the risk of ignition across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns

[Q.A.IIb] How automated is the ignition risk calculation tool?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.IIb.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
- [Q.A.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Partially (<50%)**

[Q.A.IIc] How granular is the tool?

- [Q.A.IIc.r1] Today iii. **Circuit-based**
- [Q.A.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Circuit-based**

[Q.A.IId.r1] Today - How is risk assessment confirmed? Select all that apply.

- [Q.A.IId.r1c1] i. By experts (**yes**)
- [Q.A.IId.r1c2] ii. By historical data (**yes**)

[Q.A.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) - How is risk assessment confirmed? Select all that apply.

- [Q.A.IId.r2c1] i. By experts (**yes**)
- [Q.A.IId.r2c2] ii. By historical data (**yes**)
- [Q.A.IId.r2c3] iii. Through real-time learning (**yes**)

[Q.A.IIe] What confidence interval, in percent, does the utility use in its wildfire risk assessments?

- [Q.A.IIe.r1] Today i. **>60%, or no quantified confidence interval**
- [Q.A.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **>60%, or no quantified confidence interval**

---

[Q.A.IIIa] How is estimated consequence of ignition relayed?

- [Q.A.IIIa.r1] Today ii. **Ignition events categorized as low or high risk to communities**
- [Q.A.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Ignition events categorized as low or high risk to communities**

[Q.A.IIIb] What metrics are used to estimate the consequence of ignition risk?

- [Q.A.IIIb.r1] Today i. **As a function of at least one of the following: structures burned, potential fatalities, or area burned**
- [Q.A.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **As a function of at least potential fatalities, and one or both of structures burned, or area burned**

[Q.A.IIIc] Is the ignition risk impact analysis available for all seasons?

- [Q.A.IIIc.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.A.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.A.IIIId] How automated is the ignition risk estimation process?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization

and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.IIId.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
- [Q.A.IIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Partially (<50%)**

[Q.A.IIIe] How granular is the ignition risk estimation process?

- [Q.A.IIIe.r1] Today iii. **Circuit-based**
- [Q.A.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Circuit-based**

[Q.A.IIIf] How are the outputs of the ignition risk impact assessment tool evaluated?

- [Q.A.IIIf.r1] Today i. **Outputs not evaluated**
- [Q.A.IIIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Outputs independently assessed by experts and confirmed by historical data**

[Q.A.IIIg] What other inputs are used to estimate impact?

- [Q.A.IIIg.r1] Today i. **Level and conditions of vegetation and weather**
- [Q.A.IIIg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Level and conditions of vegetation and weather**

---

[Q.A.IVa] How is risk reduction impact estimated?

- [Q.A.IVa.r1] Today i. **No clear estimation of risk reduction potential across most initiatives**
- [Q.A.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Approach reliably estimates risk reduction potential of initiatives, on an ordinal scale (e.g. 1-5)**

[Q.A.IVb] How automated is your ignition risk reduction impact assessment tool?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.IVb.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
- [Q.A.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Partially (<50%)**

[Q.A.IVc] How granular is the ignition risk reduction impact assessment tool?

- [Q.A.IVc.r1] Today i. **Less granular than regional, or no tool at all**
- [Q.A.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Circuit-based**

[Q.A.IVd] How are ignition risk reduction impact assessment tool estimates assessed?

- [Q.A.IVd.r1] Today i. **No or limited formal evidence or support for estimates**
- [Q.A.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Independent expert assessment**

[Q.A.IVe] What additional information is used to estimate risk reduction impact?

- [Q.A.IVe.r1] Today ii. **Existing hardware type and condition**
- [Q.A.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history**

---

[Q.A.Va] What is the protocol to update risk mapping algorithms?

- [Q.A.Va.r1] Today i. **No defined process for updating risk mapping algorithms**
- [Q.A.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Risk mapping algorithms updated based on detected deviations of risk model to ignitions and propagation**

[Q.A.Vb] How automated is the mechanism to determine whether to update algorithms based on deviations?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization

and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.Vb.r1] Today ii. Partially (<50%)
- [Q.A.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Partially (<50%)

[Q.A.Vc] How are deviations from risk model to ignitions and propagation detected?

- [Q.A.Vc.r1] Today i. Not currently calculated
- [Q.A.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Manually

[Q.A.Vd] How are decisions to update algorithms evaluated?

- [Q.A.Vd.r1] Today i. Not currently evaluated
- [Q.A.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Independently evaluated by experts and historical data

[Q.A.Ve] What other data is used to make decisions on whether to update algorithms?

- [Q.A.Ve.r1] Today i. Historic ignition and propagation data
- [Q.A.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Current and historic ignition and propagation data; near-miss data

---

[Q.B.Ia] What weather data is currently collected?

- [Q.B.Ia.r1] Today ii. Wind being measured accurately enough along the grid to estimate ignition probability
- [Q.B.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Range of accurate weather variables (e.g. humidity, precipitation, surface and atmospheric wind conditions) that impact probability of ignition and propagation from utility assets

[Q.B.Ib] How are measurements validated?

- [Q.B.Ib.r1] Today ii. Manual field calibration measurements
- [Q.B.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Manual field calibration measurements

[Q.B.Ic] Are elements that cannot be reliably measured in real time being predicted (e.g., fuel moisture content)?

- [Q.B.Ic.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.B.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.B.Id] How many sources are being used to provide data on weather metrics being collected?

- [Q.B.Id.r1] Today iii. More than one
- [Q.B.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. More than one

---

[Q.B.IIa] How granular is the weather data that is collected?

- [Q.B.IIa.r1] Today iii. Weather data has sufficient granularity to reliably measure weather conditions in HFTD areas, and along the entire grid and in all areas needed to predict weather on the grid
- [Q.B.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Weather data has sufficient granularity to reliably measure weather conditions in HFTD areas, and along the entire grid and in all areas needed to predict weather on the grid. Also includes wind estimations at various atmospheric altitudes relevant to ignition risk

[Q.B.IIb] How frequently is data gathered?

- [Q.B.IIb.r1] Today iv. At least six times per hour
- [Q.B.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. At least six times per hour

[Q.B.IIc] How granular is the tool?

- [Q.B.IIc.r1] Today ii. Regional
- [Q.B.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit-based

[Q.B.IId] How automated is the process to measure weather conditions?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.B.IId.r1] Today iv. Fully
- [Q.B.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Fully

[Q.B.IIIa] How sophisticated is the utility's weather forecasting capability?

- [Q.B.IIIa.r1] Today iii. Utility has the ability to use a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data to make accurate forecasts
- [Q.B.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has the ability to use a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data to make accurate forecasts

[Q.B.IIIb] How far in advance can accurate forecasts be prepared?

- [Q.B.IIIb.r1] Today i. Less than two weeks in advance
- [Q.B.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Less than two weeks in advance

[Q.B.IIIc] At what level of granularity can forecasts be prepared?

- [Q.B.IIIc.r1] Today iii. Circuit-based
- [Q.B.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit-based

[Q.B.IIIId] How are results error-checked?

- [Q.B.IIIId.r1] Today iii. Criteria for option (ii) met, and forecasted results are subsequently error checked against measured weather data
- [Q.B.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Criteria for option (ii) met, and forecasted results are subsequently error checked against measured weather data

[Q.B.IIIe] How automated is the forecast process?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.B.IIIe.r1] Today iii. Mostly ( $\geq 50\%$ )
- [Q.B.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Mostly ( $\geq 50\%$ )

[Q.B.IVa] What source does the utility use for weather data?

- [Q.B.IVa.r1] Today iii. Utility uses a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data
- [Q.B.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility uses a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data

[Q.B.IVb] How is weather station data checked for errors?

- [Q.B.IVb.r1] Today ii. Mostly manual processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources
- [Q.B.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Mostly manual processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources

[Q.B.IVc] For what is weather data used?

- [Q.B.IVc.r1] Today iii. Weather data is used to create a single visual and configurable live map that can be used to help make decisions

- [Q.B.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Weather data is used to create a single visual and configurable live map that can be used to help make decisions
- 

[Q.B.Va] Are there well-defined procedures for detecting ignitions along the grid?

- [Q.B.Va.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.B.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.B.Vb] What equipment is used to detect ignitions?

- [Q.B.Vb.r1] Today iv. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras, and satellite monitoring
- [Q.B.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras, and satellite monitoring

[Q.B.Vc] How is information on detected ignitions reported?

- [Q.B.Vc.r1] Today iii. Procedure exists for notifying suppression forces and key stakeholders
- [Q.B.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Procedure exists for notifying suppression forces and key stakeholders

[Q.B.Vd] What role does ignition detection software play in wildfire detection?

- [Q.B.Vd.r1] Today ii. Ignition detection software in cameras used to augment ignition detection procedures
  - [Q.B.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Ignition detection software in cameras used to augment ignition detection procedures
- 

[Q.C.Ia] How are wildfire risk reduction initiatives prioritized?

- [Q.C.Ia.r1] Today ii. Plan prioritizes risk reduction initiatives to within only HFTD areas
  - [Q.C.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives based on local geography and conditions within only HFTD areas
- 

[Q.C.IIa] Does grid design meet minimum G095 requirements and loading standards in HFTD areas?

- [Q.C.IIa.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.C.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Grid topology exceeds design requirements, designed based on accurate understanding of drivers of utility ignition risk

[Q.C.IIb] Does the utility provide micro grids or islanding where traditional grid infrastructure is impracticable and wildfire risk is high?

- [Q.C.IIb.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.C.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.C.IIc] Does routing of new portions of the grid take wildfire risk into account?

- [Q.C.IIc.r1] Today i. Yes
- [Q.C.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Yes

[Q.C.IId] Are efforts made to incorporate the latest asset management strategies and new technologies into grid topology?

- [Q.C.IId.r1] Today ii. Yes, some effort made in HFTD areas
  - [Q.C.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, across the entire service area
- 

[Q.C.IIIa] What level of redundancy does the utility's transmission architecture have?



- [Q.C.IIIa.r1] Today i. Many single points of failure
- [Q.C.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Many single points of failure

[Q.C.IIIb] What level of redundancy does the utility's distribution architecture have?

- [Q.C.IIIb.r1] Today i. Many single points of failure
- [Q.C.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Many single points of failure

[Q.C.IIIc] What level of sectionalization does the utility's distribution architecture have?

- [Q.C.IIIc.r1] Today ii. Switches in HFTD areas to individually isolate circuits
- [Q.C.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Switches in HFTD areas to individually isolate circuits

[Q.C.IIIId] How does the utility consider egress points in its grid topology?

- [Q.C.IIIId.r1] Today ii. Egress points used as an input for grid topology design
- [Q.C.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Egress points used as an input for grid topology design

[Q.C.IVa] Does the utility have an understanding of the risk spend efficiency of hardening initiatives?

Clarification: 'Hardening initiatives' refers to all initiatives implemented by utility or by other utilities in California

- [Q.C.IVa.r1] Today ii. Utility has an accurate understanding of the relative cost and effectiveness of different initiatives
- [Q.C.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Utility has an accurate understanding of the relative cost and effectiveness of different initiatives

[Q.C.IVb] At what level can estimates be prepared?

- [Q.C.IVb.r1] Today iii. Circuit-based
- [Q.C.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit-based

[Q.C.IVc] How frequently are estimates updated?

- [Q.C.IVc.r1] Today ii. Less frequently than annually
- [Q.C.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Annually or more frequently

[Q.C.IVd] What grid hardening initiatives does the utility include within its evaluation?

Clarification: 'All Hardening initiatives' refers to all initiatives implemented by utility or by other utilities in California

- [Q.C.IVd.r1] Today ii. Some
- [Q.C.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Most

[Q.C.IVe] Can the utility evaluate risk reduction synergies from combination of various initiatives?

- [Q.C.IVe.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.C.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.C.Va] How are new hardening solution initiatives evaluated?

- [Q.C.Va.r1] Today ii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events
- [Q.C.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events, and measuring reduction impact on near-miss metrics

[Q.C.Vb] Are results of pilot and commercial deployments, including project performance, project cost, geography, climate, vegetation etc. shared in sufficient detail to inform decision making at other utilities?

- [Q.C.Vb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.C.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes, with a limited set of partners

[Q.C.Vc] Is performance of new initiatives independently audited?

- [Q.C.Vc.r1] Today i. No
  - [Q.C.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
- 

[Q.D.Ia] What information is captured in the equipment inventory database?

- [Q.D.Ia.r1] Today ii. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle
- [Q.D.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs

[Q.D.Ib] How frequently is the condition assessment updated?

- [Q.D.Ib.r1] Today ii. Annually
- [Q.D.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Quarterly

[Q.D.Ic] Does all equipment in HFTD areas have the ability to detect and respond to malfunctions?

- [Q.D.Ic.r1] Today ii. A system and approach are in place to reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition
- [Q.D.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. A system and approach are in place to reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition

[Q.D.Id] How granular is the inventory?

- [Q.D.Id.r1] Today iii. At the asset level
  - [Q.D.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. At the asset level
- 

[Q.D.IIa] How frequent are your patrol inspections?

- [Q.D.IIa.r1] Today ii. Consistent with minimum regulatory requirements
- [Q.D.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment

[Q.D.IIb] How are patrol inspections scheduled?

- [Q.D.IIb.r1] Today i. Based on annual or periodic schedules
- [Q.D.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Based on up-to-date static maps of equipment types and environment

[Q.D.IIc] What are the inputs to scheduling patrol inspections?

- [Q.D.IIc.r1] Today i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment
- [Q.D.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment

[Q.D.IId] How frequent are detailed inspections?

- [Q.D.IId.r1] Today iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment

- [Q.D.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment

[Q.D.IIe] How are detailed inspections scheduled?

- [Q.D.IIe.r1] Today i. Based on annual or periodic schedules
- [Q.D.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Based on up-to-date static maps of equipment types and environment

[Q.D.IIf] What are the inputs to scheduling detailed inspections?

- [Q.D.IIf.r1] Today i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment
- [Q.D.IIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment

[Q.D.IIg] How frequent are your other inspections?

- [Q.D.IIg.r1] Today ii. Consistent with minimum regulatory requirements
- [Q.D.IIg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Consistent with minimum regulatory requirements

[Q.D.IIh] How are other inspections scheduled?

- [Q.D.IIh.r1] Today i. Based on annual or periodic schedules
- [Q.D.IIh.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Based on up-to-date static maps of equipment types and environment

[Q.D.IIi] What are the inputs to scheduling other inspections?

- [Q.D.IIi.r1] Today i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment
- [Q.D.IIi.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment

---

[Q.D.IIIa] What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?

- [Q.D.IIIa.r1] Today ii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations
- [Q.D.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes lines and equipment typically responsible for ignitions and near misses

[Q.D.IIIb] How are procedures and checklists determined?

- [Q.D.IIIb.r1] Today i. Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only
- [Q.D.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only

[Q.D.IIIc] At what level of granularity are the depth of checklists, training, and procedures customized?

- [Q.D.IIIc.r1] Today i. Across the service territory
  - [Q.D.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Across the service territory
- 

[Q.D.IVa] What level are electrical lines and equipment maintained at?

- [Q.D.IVa.r1] Today i. Electric lines and equipment not consistently maintained at required condition over multiple circuits
- [Q.D.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Electrical lines and equipment maintained as required by regulation

[Q.D.IVb] How are service intervals set?

- [Q.D.IVb.r1] Today i. Based on wildfire risk in relevant area
- [Q.D.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Based on wildfire risk in relevant circuit

[Q.D.IVc] What do maintenance and repair procedures take into account?

- [Q.D.IVc.r1] Today i. Wildfire risk
  - [Q.D.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Wildfire risk, performance history, and past operating conditions
- 

[Q.D.Va] How is contractor activity audited?

- [Q.D.Va.r1] Today ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors
- [Q.D.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semi-automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g., LiDAR scans, photographic evidence)

[Q.D.Vb] Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees?

- [Q.D.Vb.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.D.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.D.Vc] How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance?

- [Q.D.Vc.r1] Today iii. On an ad hoc basis
- [Q.D.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Regularly

[Q.D.Vd] How are work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated?

- [Q.D.Vd.r1] Today ii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections
- [Q.D.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, and recommend training based on weaknesses

[Q.D.Ve] Are workforce management software tools used to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors?

- [Q.D.Ve.r1] Today ii. Yes
  - [Q.D.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
- 

[Q.E.Ia] What information is captured in the inventory?

- [Q.E.Ia.r1] Today ii. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances based on most recent inspection
- [Q.E.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances, including predominant vegetation species and individual high risk-trees across grid

[Q.E.Ib] How frequently is inventory updated?

- [Q.E.Ib.r1] Today ii. Annually
- [Q.E.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Within 1 month of collection

[Q.E.Ic] Are inspections independently verified by third party experts?

- [Q.E.Ic.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.E.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

- [Q.E.Id] How granular is the inventory?
- [Q.E.Id.r1] Today iv. **Asset-based**
  - [Q.E.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Asset-based**
- 

- [Q.E.IIa] How frequent are all types of vegetation inspections?
- [Q.E.IIa.r1] Today ii. **Consistent with minimum regulatory requirements**
  - [Q.E.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk areas**

- [Q.E.IIb] How are vegetation inspections scheduled?
- [Q.E.IIb.r1] Today i. **Based on annual or periodic schedules**
  - [Q.E.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Based on annual or periodic schedules**

- [Q.E.IIc] What are the inputs to scheduling vegetation inspections?
- [Q.E.IIc.r1] Today i. **At least annually-updated static maps of vegetation and environment**
  - [Q.E.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **At least annually-updated static maps of vegetation and environment**
- 

- [Q.E.IIIa] What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?
- [Q.E.IIIa.r1] Today ii. **Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations**
  - [Q.E.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes vegetation types typically responsible for ignitions and near misses**

- [Q.E.IIIb] How are procedures and checklists determined?
- [Q.E.IIIb.r1] Today i. **Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only**
  - [Q.E.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only**

- [Q.E.IIIc] At what level of granularity are the depth of checklists, training, and procedures customized?
- [Q.E.IIIc.r1] Today i. **Across the service territory**
  - [Q.E.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Across the service territory**
- 

- [Q.E.IVa] How does utility clearance around lines and equipment perform relative to expected standards?
- [Q.E.IVa.r1] Today ii. **Utility meet minimum statutory and regulatory clearances around all lines and equipment**
  - [Q.E.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Utility meet minimum statutory and regulatory clearances around all lines and equipment**

- [Q.E.IVb] Does utility meet or exceed minimum statutory or regulatory clearances during all seasons?
- [Q.E.IVb.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
  - [Q.E.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

- [Q.E.IVc] What modeling is used to guide clearances around lines and equipment?
- [Q.E.IVc.r1] Today iii. **None of the above**
  - [Q.E.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **None of the above**

- [Q.E.IVd] What biological modeling is used to guide clearance around lines and equipment?
- [Q.E.IVd.r1] Today iii. **None of the above**

- [Q.E.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Species growth rates and species limb failure rates

[Q.E.IVe] Are community organizations engaged in setting local clearances and protocols?

- [Q.E.IVe.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.E.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.E.IVf] Does the utility remove vegetation waste along its right of way across the entire grid?

- [Q.E.IVf.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.E.IVf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.E.IVg] How long after cutting vegetation does the utility remove vegetation waste along right of way?

- [Q.E.IVg.r1] Today ii. Longer than 1 week
- [Q.E.IVg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Longer than 1 week

[Q.E.IVh] Does the utility work with local landowners to provide a cost-effective use for cutting vegetation?

- [Q.E.IVh.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.E.IVh.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.E.IVi] Does the utility work with partners to identify new cost-effective uses for vegetation, taking into consideration environmental impacts and emissions of vegetation waste?

- [Q.E.IVi.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.E.IVi.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.E.Va] Does the utility have a process for treating vegetation outside of right of ways?

- [Q.E.Va.r1] Today iii. Utility systematically removes vegetation outside of right of way
- [Q.E.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Utility systematically removes vegetation outside of right of way, informing relevant communities of removal

[Q.E.Vb] How is potential vegetation that may pose a threat identified?

- [Q.E.Vb.r1] Today ii. Based on the height of trees with potential to make contact with electric lines and equipment
- [Q.E.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Based on the height of trees with potential to make contact with electric lines and equipment

[Q.E.Vc] Is vegetation removed with cooperation from the community?

- [Q.E.Vc.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.E.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.E.Vd] Does the utility remove vegetation waste outside its right of way across the entire grid?

- [Q.E.Vd.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.E.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.E.Ve] How long after cutting vegetation does the utility remove vegetation waste outside its right of way?

- [Q.E.Ve.r1] Today ii. Longer than 1 week
- [Q.E.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Longer than 1 week

[Q.E.Vf] Does the utility work with local landowners to provide a cost-effective use for cutting vegetation?

- [Q.E.Vf.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.E.Vf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.E.Vg] Does the utility work with partners to identify new cost-effective uses for vegetation, taking into consideration environmental impacts and emissions of vegetation waste?

- [Q.E.Vg.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
  - [Q.E.Vg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**
- 

[Q.E.Vla] How is contractor and employee activity audited?

- [Q.E.Vla.r1] Today ii. **Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors**
- [Q.E.Vla.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors**

[Q.E.Vlb] Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees?

- [Q.E.Vlb.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.E.Vlb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.E.Vlc] How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance?

- [Q.E.Vlc.r1] Today iv. **Regularly**
- [Q.E.Vlc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Regularly**

[Q.E.Vld] How is work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated?

- [Q.E.Vld.r1] Today ii. **QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections**
- [Q.E.Vld.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections**

[Q.E.Vle] Are workforce management software tools used to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors?

- [Q.E.Vle.r1] Today i. **No**
  - [Q.E.Vle.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**
- 

[Q.F.la] How are grid elements adjusted during high threat weather conditions?

- [Q.F.la.r1] Today iv. **Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions based on risk mapping and monitors near misses**
- [Q.F.la.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions based on risk mapping and monitors near misses**

[Q.F.lb] Is there an automated process for adjusting sensitivity of grid elements and evaluating effectiveness?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3 or 4

- [Q.F.lb.r1] Today ii. **Partially automated process**
- [Q.F.lb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Partially automated process**

[Q.F.lc] Is there a predetermined protocol driven by fire conditions for adjusting sensitivity of grid elements?

- [Q.F.lc.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
  - [Q.F.lc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**
-

[Q.F.IIa] Does the utility have a clearly explained process for determining whether to operate the grid beyond current or voltage designs?

- [Q.F.IIa.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.F.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.F.IIb] Does the utility have systems in place to automatically track operation history including current, loads, and voltage throughout the grid at the circuit level?

- [Q.F.IIb.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.F.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.F.IIc] Does the utility use predictive modeling to estimate the expected life and make equipment maintenance, rebuild, or replacement decisions based on grid operating history, and is that model reviewed?

- [Q.F.IIc.r1] Today ii. **Modeling is used, but not evaluated by external experts**
- [Q.F.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Modeling is used, and the model is evaluated by external experts and verified by historical data**

[Q.F.IId] When does the utility operate the grid above rated voltage and current load?

- [Q.F.IId.r1] Today ii. **Only in conditions that are unlikely to cause wildfire**
  - [Q.F.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Only in conditions that are unlikely to cause wildfire**
- 

[Q.F.IIIa] How effective is PSPS event forecasting?

- [Q.F.IIIa.r1] Today iv. **PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer than 25% of predictions being false positives**
- [Q.F.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer than 25% of predictions being false positives**

[Q.F.IIIb] What share of customers are communicated to regarding forecasted PSPS events?

- [Q.F.IIIb.r1] Today ii. **PSPS event are communicated to >95% of affected customers and >99% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action**
- [Q.F.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **PSPS event are communicated to >99% of affected customers and >99.9% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action**

[Q.F.IIIc] During PSPS events, what percent of customers complain?

- [Q.F.IIIc.r1] Today iii. **Less than 0.5%**
- [Q.F.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Less than 0.5%**

[Q.F.IIIId] During PSPS events, does the utility's website go down?

- [Q.F.IIIId.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.F.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.F.IIIe] During PSPS events, what is the average downtime per customer?

- [Q.F.IIIe.r1] Today v. **Less than 0.1 hours**
- [Q.F.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Less than 0.1 hours**

[Q.F.IIIIf] Are specific resources provided to all affected customers to alleviate the impact of the power shutoff (e.g., providing backup generators, supplies, batteries, etc.)?

- [Q.F.IIIIf.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
  - [Q.F.IIIIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**
-



[Q.F.IVa] Does the utility have explicit thresholds for activating a PSPS?

- [Q.F.IVa.r1] Today ii. Utility has explicit policies and explanation for the thresholds above which PSPS is activated as a measure of last resort
- [Q.F.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Utility has explicit policies and explanation for the thresholds above which PSPS is activated as a measure of last resort

[Q.F.IVb.r1] Today - Which of the following does the utility take into account when making PSPS decisions? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVb.r1c2] ii. A partially automated system which recommends circuits for which PSPS should be activated and is validated by SMEs (yes)

[Q.F.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) - Which of the following does the utility take into account when making PSPS decisions? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVb.r2c2] ii. A partially automated system which recommends circuits for which PSPS should be activated and is validated by SMEs (yes)

[Q.F.IVc.r1] Today - Under which circumstances does the utility de-energize circuits? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVc.r1c1] i. Upon detection of damaged conditions of electric equipment (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r1c2] ii. When circuit presents a safety risk to suppression or other personnel (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r1c3] iii. When equipment has come into contact with foreign objects posing ignition risk (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r1c4] iv. Additional reasons not listed (yes)

[Q.F.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) - Under which circumstances does the utility de-energize circuits? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVc.r2c1] i. Upon detection of damaged conditions of electric equipment (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r2c2] ii. When circuit presents a safety risk to suppression or other personnel (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r2c3] iii. When equipment has come into contact with foreign objects posing ignition risk (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r2c4] iv. Additional reasons not listed (yes)

[Q.F.IVd] Given the condition of the grid, with what probability does the utility expect any large scale PSPS events affecting more than 10,000 people to occur in the coming year?

- [Q.F.IVd.r1] Today ii. Greater than 5% - Grid condition paired with risk indicates that PSPS may be necessary in 2020 in some areas
- [Q.F.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Greater than 5% - Grid condition paired with risk indicates that PSPS may be necessary in 2020 in some areas

---

[Q.F.Va] Is there a process for inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization?

- [Q.F.Va.r1] Today ii. Existing process for accurately inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization
- [Q.F.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Existing process for accurately inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization

[Q.F.Vb] How automated is the process for inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization?

Clarification: For explanation on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.F.Vb.r1] Today i. Manual process, not automated at all
- [Q.F.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Partially automated (<50%)

[Q.F.Vc] What is the average amount of time that it takes you to re-energize your grid from a PSPS once weather has subsided to below your de-energization threshold??

- [Q.F.Vc.r1] Today ii. Within 24 hours
- [Q.F.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Within 12 hours

[Q.F.Vd] What level of understanding of probability of ignitions after PSPS events does the utility have across the grid?

- [Q.F.Vd.r1] Today ii. Some probability estimates exist
  - [Q.F.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of ignition risk following re-energization, by asset, validated by historical data and near misses
- 

[Q.F.Vla] Does the utility have defined policies around the role of workers in suppressing ignitions?

- [Q.F.Vla.r1] Today iii. Utilities have explicit policies about the role of crews, including contractors and subcontractors, at the site of ignition
- [Q.F.Vla.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utilities have explicit policies about the role of crews, including contractors and subcontractors, at the site of ignition

[Q.F.Vlb] What training and tools are provided to workers in the field?

- [Q.F.Vlb.r1] Today iii. All criteria in option (ii) met; In addition, suppression tools and training to suppress small ignitions caused by workers or in immediate vicinity of workers are provided
- [Q.F.Vlb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. All criteria in option (ii) met; In addition, suppression tools and training to suppress small ignitions caused by workers or in immediate vicinity of workers are provided

[Q.F.Vlc] In the events where workers have encountered an ignition, have any Cal/OSHA reported injuries or fatalities occurred in in the last year?

Clarification: For this year, please identify whether any major injuries or fatalities have occurred in 2019. For three years from now, please specify whether you think there is a chance that major injuries or fatalities could occur in 2022.

- [Q.F.Vlc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.F.Vlc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.F.Vld] Does the utility provide training to other workers at other utilities and outside the utility industry on best practices to minimize, report and suppress ignitions?

Clarification: An example of workers outside utility industry might be workers at a vegetation management company who prune trees near utility equipment

- [Q.F.Vld.r1] Today i. No
  - [Q.F.Vld.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
- 

[Q.G.la] Does the utility have a centralized database of situational, operational, and risk data?

Clarification: Question is asking whether utility centralizes most of its situational, operational, and risk data in a single database

- [Q.G.la.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.la.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.lb] Is the utility able to use advanced analytics on its centralized database of situational, operational, and risk data to make operational and investment decisions?

Clarification: In this case, advanced analytics refers to analysis integrating different types of data from this centralized database in a sufficiently reliable way to create a detailed, quantitative and holistic picture of tradeoffs to be weighed in operational or investment decisions

- [Q.G.lb.r1] Today i. No

- [Q.G.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, for both short term and long-term decision making

[Q.G.Ic] Does the utility collect data from all sensed portions of electric lines, equipment, weather stations, etc.?

- [Q.G.Ic.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.G.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.Id] Is the utility's database of situational, operational, and risk data able to ingest and share data using real-time API protocols with a wide variety of stakeholders?

- [Q.G.Id.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.Ie] Does the utility identify highest priority additional data sources to improve decision making?

- [Q.G.Ie.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.G.Ie.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, with plans to incorporate these into centralized database of situational, operational and risk data

[Q.G.If] Does the utility share best practices for database management and use with other utilities in California and beyond?

- [Q.G.If.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.If.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.IIa] Is there a single document cataloguing all fire-related data and algorithms, analyses, and data processes?

- [Q.G.IIa.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.IIb] Is there an explanation of the sources, cleaning processes, and assumptions made in the single document catalog?

- [Q.G.IIb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.IIc] Are all analyses, algorithms, and data processing explained and documented?

- [Q.G.IIc.r1] Today ii. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented
- [Q.G.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented and explained

[Q.G.IId] Is there a system for sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions?

- [Q.G.IId.r1] Today iii. System is capable of sharing across at least three levels of permissions, including a.) utility-regulator permissions, b.) first responder permissions, and c.) public data sharing
- [Q.G.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. System is capable of sharing across at least three levels of permissions, including a.) utility-regulator permissions, b.) first responder permissions, and c.) public data sharing

[Q.G.IIe] Are the most relevant wildfire related data algorithms disclosed?

Clarification: Question is asking whether all algorithms or decision making process used to inform decision making around investment choices, risk mitigation choices, and emergency response are disclosed

- [Q.G.IIe.r1] Today iii. Yes, disclosed publicly in WMP upon request

- [Q.G.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, disclosed publicly in WMP upon request
- 

[Q.G.IIIa] Does the utility track near miss data for all near misses with wildfire ignition potential?

Clarification: Recall that near miss is defined as an event with significant probability of ignition, including wires down, contacts with objects, line slap, events with evidence of significant heat generation, and other events that cause sparking or have the potential to cause ignition.

- [Q.G.IIIa.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIIb] Based on near miss data captured, is the utility able to simulate wildfire potential given an ignition based on event characteristics, fuel loads, and moisture?

- [Q.G.IIIb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIIc] Does the utility capture data related to the specific mode of failure when capturing near-miss data?

- [Q.G.IIIc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIIId] Is the utility able to predict the probability of a near miss in causing an ignition based on a set of event characteristics?

- [Q.G.IIIId.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIIe] Does the utility use data from near misses to change grid operation protocols in real time?

- [Q.G.IIIe.r1] Today i. No
  - [Q.G.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
- 

[Q.G.IVa] Does the utility make disclosures and share data?

Clarification: In this case, 'disclosures' refer to disclosures to the CPUC and to the public

- [Q.G.IVa.r1] Today ii. Utility makes required disclosures, but does not share data beyond what is required
- [Q.G.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility makes required disclosures and shares data beyond what is required

[Q.G.IVb] Does the utility in engage in research?

Clarification: Here, 'research' broadly refers to collaborative research (e.g. with other utilities, academics, or the government) or to independent research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).

- [Q.G.IVb.r1] Today iii. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research
- [Q.G.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research

[Q.G.IVc] What subjects does utility research address?

- [Q.G.IVc.r1] Today ii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives
- [Q.G.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives

[Q.G.IVd] Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research?

Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective

- [Q.G.IVd.r1] Today i. No
  - [Q.G.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
- 

[Q.H.Ia] For what risk scenarios is the utility able to provide projected cost and total risk reduction potential?

- [Q.H.Ia.r1] Today i. Utility does not project proposed initiatives or costs across different levels of risk scenarios
- [Q.H.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility provides an accurate high-risk reduction and low risk reduction scenario, in addition to their proposed scenario, and the projected cost and total risk reduction potential

[Q.H.Ib] For what level of granularity is the utility able to provide projections for each scenario?

- [Q.H.Ib.r1] Today i. Territory-level or greater
- [Q.H.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit level

[Q.H.Ic] Does the utility include a long term (e.g., 6-10 year) risk estimate taking into account macro factors (climate change, etc.) as well as planned risk reduction initiatives in its scenarios?

- [Q.H.Ic.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.H.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.H.Id] Does the utility provide an estimate of impact on reliability factors in its scenarios?

Clarification: Reliability factors here refer to factors impacting reliability of service to customers

- [Q.H.Id.r1] Today i. No
  - [Q.H.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
- 

[Q.H.IIa] Does the utility present accurate qualitative rankings for its initiatives by risk spend efficiency?

- [Q.H.IIa.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.H.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.H.IIb] What initiatives are captured in the ranking of risk spend efficiency?

- [Q.H.IIb.r1] Today i. Common commercial initiatives
- [Q.H.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. All commercial initiatives

[Q.H.IIc] Does the utility include figures for present value cost and project risk reduction impact of each initiative, clearly documenting all assumptions (e.g. useful life, discount rate, etc.)?

- [Q.H.IIc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.H.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.H.IId] Does the utility provide an explanation of their investment in each particular initiative?

Clarification: Reliability factors here refer to factors impacting reliability of service to customers

- [Q.H.IId.r1] Today ii. Yes, including the expected overall reduction in risk
- [Q.H.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, including the expected overall reduction in risk and estimates of impact on reliability factors

[Q.H.IIe] At what level of granularity is the utility able to provide risk efficiency figures?

- [Q.H.IIe.r1] Today i. Territory-level or greater
  - [Q.H.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit level
-

- [Q.H.IIIa] How accurate of a risk spend efficiency calculation can the utility provide?
- [Q.H.IIIa.r1] Today i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of various clearances and types of vegetation management initiatives
  - [Q.H.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Utility has an accurate relative understanding of the cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate

- [Q.H.IIIb] At what level can estimates be prepared?
- [Q.H.IIIb.r1] Today i. Less granular than regional, or not at all
  - [Q.H.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit-based

- [Q.H.IIIc] How frequently are estimates updated?
- [Q.H.IIIc.r1] Today iii. Annually or more frequently
  - [Q.H.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Annually or more frequently

- [Q.H.IIIId] What vegetation management initiatives does the utility include within its evaluation?
- [Q.H.IIIId.r1] Today iii. Most
  - [Q.H.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. All

- [Q.H.IIIe] Can the utility evaluate risk reduction synergies from combination of various initiatives?
- [Q.H.IIIe.r1] Today i. No
  - [Q.H.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
- 

- [Q.H.IVa] How accurate of a risk spend efficiency calculation can the utility provide?
- [Q.H.IVa.r1] Today ii. Utility has accurate relative understanding of cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate
  - [Q.H.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Utility has accurate relative understanding of cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate

- [Q.H.IVb] At what level can estimates be prepared?
- [Q.H.IVb.r1] Today i. Less granular than regional, or not at all
  - [Q.H.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit-based

- [Q.H.IVc] How frequently are estimates updated?
- [Q.H.IVc.r1] Today iii. Annually or more frequently
  - [Q.H.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Annually or more frequently

- [Q.H.IVd] What grid hardening initiatives are included in the utility risk spend efficiency analysis?
- [Q.H.IVd.r1] Today ii. Some commercially available grid hardening initiatives
  - [Q.H.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. All commercially available grid hardening initiatives

- [Q.H.IVe] Can the utility evaluate risk reduction effects from the combination of various initiatives?
- [Q.H.IVe.r1] Today i. No
  - [Q.H.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
- 

- [Q.H.Va] To what extent does the utility allocate capital to initiatives based on risk-spend efficiency (RSE)?
- [Q.H.Va.r1] Today i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RSE
  - [Q.H.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Utility considers estimates of RSE when allocating capital

- [Q.H.Vb] What information does the utility take into account when generating RSE estimates?

- [Q.H.Vb.r1] Today i. Average estimate of RSE by initiative category
- [Q.H.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Specific information by initiative, including state of equipment and location where initiative will be implemented

[Q.H.Vc] How does the utility verify RSE estimates?

- [Q.H.Vc.r1] Today i. Utility does not verify RSE estimates
- [Q.H.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility does not verify RSE estimates

[Q.H.Vd] Does the utility take into consideration impact on safety, reliability, and other priorities when making spending decisions?

- [Q.H.Vd.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.H.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.H.VIa] How does the utility develop and evaluate the efficacy of new wildfire initiatives?

- [Q.H.VIa.r1] Today ii. Utility uses pilots and measures direct reduction in ignition events
- [Q.H.VIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility uses pilots and measures direct reduction in ignition events and near-misses.

[Q.H.VIb] How does the utility develop and evaluate the risk spend efficiency of new wildfire initiatives?

Clarification: TCO is total cost of ownership over the expected useful life of an asset, including purchase, operation and maintenance. In this question, total cost of ownership refers to the spend portion of the evaluation of risk spend efficiency, while risk reduction is evaluated separately.

- [Q.H.VIb.r1] Today i. No program in place
- [Q.H.VIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No program in place

[Q.H.VIc] At what level of granularity does the utility measure the efficacy of new wildfire initiatives?

- [Q.H.VIc.r1] Today ii. Entire territory
- [Q.H.VIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Circuit

[Q.H.VId] Are the reviews of innovative initiatives audited by independent parties?

Clarification: Reviews here refer to findings evaluating innovative initiatives which would assist another utility in making a decision about whether to implement that initiative or help them determine how to do so effectively. Criteria might include but are not limited to the following: technical feasibility, effectiveness, risk spend efficiency, ease of implementation and comparison to alternative options

- [Q.H.VId.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.H.VId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.H.VIe] Does the utility share the findings of its evaluation of innovative initiatives with other utilities, academia, and the general public?

- [Q.H.VIe.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.H.VIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.Ia] Is the wildfire plan integrated with overall disaster and emergency plans?

Clarification: If the utility's wildfire mitigation plan is an integrated component of an overall disaster and emergency plan then the overall plan considers at least the compound effects of risks in both directions – for example, the additional risk of fire posed by an earthquake and how to manage any compounding effects

- [Q.I.Ia.r1] Today iii. Wildfire plan is an integrated component of overall plan
- [Q.I.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Wildfire plan is an integrated component of overall plan

[Q.I.Ib] Does the utility run drills to audit the viability and execution of its wildfire plans?

- [Q.I.Ib.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Ic] Is the impact of confounding events or multiple simultaneous disasters considered in the planning process?

- [Q.I.Ic.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Id] Is the plan integrated with disaster and emergency preparedness plans of other relevant stakeholders (e.g., CAL FIRE, Fire Safe Councils, etc.)?

- [Q.I.Id.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Ie] Does the utility take a leading role in planning, coordinating, and integrating plans across stakeholders?

- [Q.I.Ie.r1] Today i. **No**
  - [Q.I.Ie.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**
- 

[Q.I.IIa] Are there detailed and actionable procedures in place to restore service after a wildfire related outage?

- [Q.I.IIa.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.I.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.IIb] Are employee and subcontractor crews trained in, and aware of, plans?

- [Q.I.IIb.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.I.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.IIc] To what level are procedures to restore service after a wildfire-related outage customized?

- [Q.I.IIc.r1] Today i. **Territory-wide**
- [Q.I.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Territory-wide**

[Q.I.IId] Is the customized procedure to restore service based on topography, vegetation, and community needs?

- [Q.I.IId.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.I.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.IIe] Is there an inventory of high risk spend efficiency resources available for repairs?

Clarification: Question is asking whether the resources, components and tools that the utility has available for repairs, maintenance, and unexpected replacement are the most risk spend efficient options on the market

- [Q.I.IIe.r1] Today i. **No**
  - [Q.I.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**
- 

[Q.I.IIIa] Does the utility provide clear and substantially complete communication of available information relevant to affected customers?

Clarification: Does the utility provide all available information which could be relevant to affected customers in a way that customers can receive in real time and easily understand?

- [Q.I.IIIa.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.I.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.IIIb] What percent of affected customers receive complete details of available information?



- [Q.I.IIIb.r1] Today ii. >95% of customers
- [Q.I.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. >99% of customers

[Q.I.IIIc] What percent of affected medical baseline customers receive complete details of available information?

- [Q.I.IIIc.r1] Today i. <=99%
- [Q.I.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. >99.9% of medical baseline customers

[Q.I.IIIId] How does the utility assist where helpful with communication of information related to power outages to customers?

- [Q.I.IIIId.r1] Today iii. None of the above
- [Q.I.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Through availability of relevant evacuation information and links on website and toll-free telephone number, and assisting disaster response professionals as requested

[Q.I.IIIe] How does the utility with engage other emergency management agencies during emergency situations?

- [Q.I.IIIe.r1] Today ii. Utility engages with other agencies in an ad hoc manner
- [Q.I.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has detailed and actionable established protocols for engaging with emergency management organizations

[Q.I.IIIIf] Does the utility communicate and coordinate resources to communities during emergencies (e.g., shelters, supplies, transportation etc.)?

- [Q.I.IIIIf.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.I.IIIIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVb] Is there a protocol in place to record the outcome of emergency events and to clearly and actionably document learnings and potential process improvements?

- [Q.I.IVb.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.I.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVb] Is there a defined process and staff responsible for incorporating learnings into emergency plan?

- [Q.I.IVb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.I.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVc] Once updated based on learnings and improvements, is the updated plan tested using "dry runs" to confirm its effectiveness?

- [Q.I.IVc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.I.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVd] Is there a defined process to solicit input from a variety of other stakeholders and incorporate learnings from other stakeholders into the emergency plan?

- [Q.I.IVd.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.I.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVa] Does the utility conduct an evaluation or debrief process after a wildfire?

- [Q.I.IVa.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.I.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVb] Does the utility conduct a customer survey and utilize partners to disseminate requests for stakeholder engagement?

- [Q.I.IVb.r1] Today i. No

- [Q.I.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Both**

[Q.I.Vc] In what other activities does the utility engage?

- [Q.I.Vc.r1] Today iii. **Debriefs with partners**
- [Q.I.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Public listening sessions, debriefs with partners, and others**

[Q.I.Vd] Does the utility share with partners findings about what can be improved?

- [Q.I.Vd.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Ve] Are feedback and recommendations on potential improvements made public?

- [Q.I.Ve.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vf] Does the utility conduct proactive outreach to local agencies and organizations to solicit additional feedback on what can be improved?

- [Q.I.Vf.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vg] Does the utility have a clear plan for post-event listening and incorporating lessons learned from all stakeholders?

- [Q.I.Vg.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vh] Does the utility track the implementation of recommendations and report upon their impact?  
Clarification: Recommendations here refer to recommendations from customers, local agencies, organizations and other stakeholders received following a wildfire or PSPS event

- [Q.I.Vh.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vh.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vi] Does the utility have a process to conduct reviews after wildfires in other the territory of other utilities and states to identify and address areas of improvement?

- [Q.I.Vi.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vi.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

---

[Q.J.Ia] Does the utility actively work to identify best practices from other utilities through a clearly defined operational process?

- [Q.J.Ia.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Yes, from other global utilities**

[Q.J.Ib] Does the utility successfully adopt and implement best practices identified from other utilities?

- [Q.J.Ib.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Ic] Does the utility seek to share best practices and lessons learned in a consistent format?

- [Q.J.Ic.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Id] Does the utility share best practices and lessons via a consistent and predictable set of venues/media?

- [Q.J.Id.r1] Today ii. **Yes**

- [Q.J.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Ie] Does the utility participate in annual benchmarking exercises with other utilities to find areas for improvement?

- [Q.J.Ie.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.Ie.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.If] Has the utility implemented a defined process for testing lessons learned from other utilities to ensure local applicability?

- [Q.J.If.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.If.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIa] Does the utility have a clear and actionable plan to develop or maintain a collaborative relationship with local communities?

- [Q.J.IIa.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIb] Are there communities in HFTD areas where meaningful resistance is expected in response to efforts to mitigate fire risk (e.g. vegetation clearance)?

- [Q.J.IIb.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIc] What percent of landowners are non-compliant with utility initiatives (e.g., vegetation management)?

- [Q.J.IIc.r1] Today v. **Less than 0.5%**
- [Q.J.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Less than 0.5%**

[Q.J.IId] What percent of landowners complain about utility initiatives (e.g., vegetation management)?

- [Q.J.IId.r1] Today iv. **Less than 1 %**
- [Q.J.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Less than 1 %**

[Q.J.IIe] Does the utility have a demonstratively cooperative relationship with communities containing >90% of the population in HFTD areas (e.g. by being recognized by other agencies as having a cooperative relationship with those communities in HFTD areas)?

- [Q.J.IIe.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIf] Does utility have records of landowners throughout communities containing >90% of the population in HFTD areas reaching out to notify of risks, dangers or issues in the past year? Clarification: For this year, please identify whether the question holds true for 2019. For three years from now, specify whether you expect the question to hold true in 2022.

- [Q.J.IIf.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.IIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIIa] Can the utility provide a plan to partner with organizations representing Limited English Proficiency (LEP) and Access & Functional Needs (AFN) communities?

- [Q.J.IIIa.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIIb] Can the utility outline how these partnerships create pathways for implementing suggested activities to address the needs of these communities?

- [Q.J.IIIb.r1] Today ii. **Yes**

- [Q.J.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIIc] Can the utility point to clear examples of how those relationships have driven the utility's ability to interact with and prepare LEP & AFN communities for wildfire mitigation activities?

- [Q.J.IIIc.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIIId] Does the utility have a specific annually-updated action plan further reduce wildfire and PSPS risk to LEP & AFN communities?

- [Q.J.IIIId.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IVa] What is the cooperative model between the utility and suppression agencies?

- [Q.J.IVa.r1] Today ii. **Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by notifying them of ignitions**
- [Q.J.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working cooperatively with them to detect ignitions, in addition to notifying them of ignitions as needed**

[Q.J.IVb] In what areas is the utility cooperating with suppression agencies?

- [Q.J.IVb.r1] Today iii. **Throughout utility service areas**
- [Q.J.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Throughout utility service areas**

[Q.J.IVc] Does the utility accurately predict and communicate the forecasted fire propagation path using available analytics resources and weather data?

- [Q.J.IVc.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IVd] Does the utility communicate fire paths to the community as requested?

- [Q.J.IVd.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.J.IVe] Does the utility work to assist suppression crews logistically, where possible?

- [Q.J.IVe.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Va] Where does the utility conduct substantial fuel management?

- [Q.J.Va.r1] Today i. **Utility does not conduct fuel management**
- [Q.J.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Utility does not conduct fuel management**

[Q.J.Vb] Does the utility engage with other stakeholders as part of its fuel management efforts?

- [Q.J.Vb.r1] Today i. **Utility does not coordinate with broader fuel management efforts by other stakeholders**
- [Q.J.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Utility shares fuel management plans with other stakeholders and works with other stakeholders conducting fuel management concurrently**

[Q.J.Vc] Does the utility cultivate a native vegetative ecosystem across territory that is consistent with lower fire risk?

- [Q.J.Vc.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.J.Vd] Does the utility fund local groups (e.g., fire safe councils) to support fuel management?

- [Q.J.Vd.r1] Today ii. Yes
  - [Q.J.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
- 

[record] Record number 497

[uuid] Participant identifier 8rfmq6tqzsnmjj0a

[date] Completion time and date 02/06/2020 13:32