

Verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey

Utilities shall complete the following verification, attached to a PDF of their electronic survey responses, following completion of the electronic survey. This document will be shared with the utilities for completion within one business day of completing the electronic survey.

Complete the following verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey submission:

(See Rule 1.11)

(Where Applicant is a Corporation)

I am an officer of the applicant corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The responses in the attached survey are true of my own knowledge.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 2/11/2020 at San Francisco, California.
(Date) (Name of city)

DocuSigned by:

Kerry Hattenik

President, Trans Bay Cable LLC

B9C4690924664A3...

(Signature and Title of Corporate Officer)

Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey – January 2020

[utility] Utility Trans Bay Cable

[CONTACT] -Contact Info-

- [CONTACT.r1] Utility Name Trans Bay Cable LLC
 - [CONTACT.r2] Name Collin Sullivan
 - [CONTACT.r3] Email Address collin.sullivan@transbaycable.com
 - [CONTACT.r4] Phone Number 9256359912
-

[Q.A.la] How sophisticated is utility's ability to estimate the risk of weather scenarios?

Clarification: Determining wildfire risk requires the utility to understand the probability of ignition and the consequences of such an ignition while taking various conditions into account (e.g., weather, fuel levels, etc.). Categorizing level of risk requires a set of calculations and judgements to group areas by wildfire risk level whereas quantitatively estimating risk refers to accurately quantifying risk on a continuous spectrum based on a host of wildfire risk drivers (e.g., as a function of ignition probability, propagation scenarios, and communities located in the propagation path).

- [Q.A.la.r1] Today ii. Wildfire risk can be reliably determined based on weather and its impacts
- [Q.A.la.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Wildfire risk can be reliably determined based on weather and its impacts

[Q.A.lb] How are scenarios assessed?

Clarification: Per the instructions, please only indicate that you meet a given response option if you meet all the characteristics described within that response option). So, hypothetically, if you do support your scenarios assessment by historical data of incidents and near misses and conduct internal assessments, but don't have an independent expert assessment, you would select (ii).

- [Q.A.lb.r1] Today iii. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near misses
- [Q.A.lb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near misses

[Q.A.lc] How granular is utility's ability to model scenarios?

- [Q.A.lc.r1] Today v. Asset-based
- [Q.A.lc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Asset-based

[Q.A.ld] How automated is the tool?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.ld.r1] Today i. Not automated
- [Q.A.ld.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Not automated

[Q.A.le] What additional information is used to estimate model weather scenarios and their risk?

- [Q.A.le.r1] Today v. Weather measured at the circuit level, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware, level of vegetation
- [Q.A.le.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Weather measured at the circuit level, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware, level of vegetation

[Q.A.lf] To what extent is future change in climate taken into account for future risk estimation?

- [Q.A.lf.r1] Today i. Future climate change not accounted for in estimating future weather and resulting risk

- [Q.A.II.f.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Future risk estimates take into account generally higher risk across entire service territory due to changing climate

[Q.A.II.a] How is ignition risk calculated?

- [Q.A.II.a.r1] Today ii. Tools and processes can reliably categorize the risk of ignition across the grid into at least two categories based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns
- [Q.A.II.a.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Tools and processes can quantitatively and accurately assess the risk of ignition across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns

[Q.A.II.b] How automated is the ignition risk calculation tool?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.II.b.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
- [Q.A.II.b.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Not automated**

[Q.A.II.c] How granular is the tool?

- [Q.A.II.c.r1] Today v. **Asset-based**
- [Q.A.II.c.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Asset-based**

[Q.A.II.d.r1] Today - How is risk assessment confirmed? Select all that apply.

- [Q.A.II.d.r1c1] i. By experts (**yes**)
- [Q.A.II.d.r1c2] ii. By historical data (**yes**)

[Q.A.II.d.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) - How is risk assessment confirmed? Select all that apply.

- [Q.A.II.d.r2c1] i. By experts (**yes**)
- [Q.A.II.d.r2c2] ii. By historical data (**yes**)

[Q.A.II.e] What confidence interval, in percent, does the utility use in its wildfire risk assessments?

- [Q.A.II.e.r1] Today i. **>60%, or no quantified confidence interval**
- [Q.A.II.e.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **>90%**

[Q.A.III.a] How is estimated consequence of ignition relayed?

- [Q.A.III.a.r1] Today iv. **Consequence of ignition events quantitatively, accurately, and precisely estimated**
- [Q.A.III.a.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Consequence of ignition events quantitatively, accurately, and precisely estimated**

[Q.A.III.b] What metrics are used to estimate the consequence of ignition risk?

- [Q.A.III.b.r1] Today i. **As a function of at least one of the following: structures burned, potential fatalities, or area burned**
- [Q.A.III.b.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **As a function of at least potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, monetary damages, impact on air quality, and impact on GHG reduction goals**

[Q.A.III.c] Is the ignition risk impact analysis available for all seasons?

- [Q.A.III.c.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.A.III.c.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.A.IIIId] How automated is the ignition risk estimation process?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.IIIId.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
- [Q.A.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Not automated**

[Q.A.IIIe] How granular is the ignition risk estimation process?

- [Q.A.IIIe.r1] Today v. **Asset-based**
- [Q.A.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Asset-based**

[Q.A.IIIIf] How are the outputs of the ignition risk impact assessment tool evaluated?

- [Q.A.IIIIf.r1] Today iii. **Outputs independently assessed by experts and confirmed by historical data**
- [Q.A.IIIIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Outputs independently assessed by experts and confirmed by historical data**

[Q.A.IIIg] What other inputs are used to estimate impact?

- [Q.A.IIIg.r1] Today ii. **Level and conditions of vegetation and weather, including the vegetation specifies immediately surrounding the ignition site**
- [Q.A.IIIg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Level and conditions of vegetation and weather, including the vegetation specifies immediately surrounding the ignition site and up-to-date moisture content, local weather patterns**

[Q.A.IVb] How is risk reduction impact estimated?

- [Q.A.IVb.r1] Today iii. **Approach reliably estimates risk reduction potential of initiatives on an interval scale (e.g. specific quantitative units)**
- [Q.A.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Approach reliably estimates risk reduction potential of initiatives on an interval scale (e.g. specific quantitative units) with a quantitative confidence interval**

[Q.A.IVc] How automated is your ignition risk reduction impact assessment tool?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.IVc.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
- [Q.A.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Mostly (>=50%)**

[Q.A.IVd] How granular is the ignition risk reduction impact assessment tool?

- [Q.A.IVd.r1] Today v. **Asset-based**
- [Q.A.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Asset-based**

[Q.A.IVe] How are ignition risk reduction impact assessment tool estimates assessed?

- [Q.A.IVe.r1] Today ii. **With evidence and logical reasoning**
- [Q.A.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near misses**

[Q.A.IVf] What additional information is used to estimate risk reduction impact?

- [Q.A.IVf.r1] Today iii. **Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history**
- [Q.A.IVf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history; level and condition of vegetation; weather**

[Q.A.Va] What is the protocol to update risk mapping algorithms?

- [Q.A.Va.r1] Today i. **No defined process for updating risk mapping algorithms**
- [Q.A.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Risk mapping algorithms updated based on detected deviations of risk model to ignitions and propagation

[Q.A.Vb] How automated is the mechanism to determine whether to update algorithms based on deviations?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.A.Vb.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
- [Q.A.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Mostly (>=50%)**

[Q.A.Vc] How are deviations from risk model to ignitions and propagation detected?

- [Q.A.Vc.r1] Today i. **Not currently calculated**
- [Q.A.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Semi-automated process**

[Q.A.Vd] How are decisions to update algorithms evaluated?

- [Q.A.Vd.r1] Today i. **Not currently evaluated**
- [Q.A.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Independently evaluated by experts and historical data**

[Q.A.Ve] What other data is used to make decisions on whether to update algorithms?

- [Q.A.Ve.r1] Today iii. **Current and historic ignition and propagation data; near-miss data**
 - [Q.A.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Current and historic ignition and propagation data; near-miss data**
-

[Q.B.Ia] What weather data is currently collected?

- [Q.B.Ia.r1] Today i. **Wind data being collected is insufficient to properly understand wind related risks along grid**
- [Q.B.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Wind data being collected is insufficient to properly understand wind related risks along grid**

[Q.B.Ib] How are measurements validated?

- [Q.B.Ib.r1] Today i. **Measurements not currently validated**
- [Q.B.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Measurements not currently validated**

[Q.B.Ic] Are elements that cannot be reliably measured in real time being predicted (e.g., fuel moisture content)?

- [Q.B.Ic.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.B.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.B.Id] How many sources are being used to provide data on weather metrics being collected?

- [Q.B.Id.r1] Today i. **None**
 - [Q.B.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **None**
-

[Q.B.IIa] How granular is the weather data that is collected?

- [Q.B.IIa.r1] Today i. **Weather data collected does not accurately reflect local weather conditions across grid infrastructure**
- [Q.B.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Weather data collected does not accurately reflect local weather conditions across grid infrastructure**

[Q.B.IIb] How frequently is data gathered?

- [Q.B.IIb.r1] Today i. **Less frequently than hourly**
- [Q.B.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Less frequently than hourly**

[Q.B.IIc] How granular is the tool?

- [Q.B.IIc.r1] Today i. **Less granular than regional, or no tool at all**
- [Q.B.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Less granular than regional, or no tool at all**

[Q.B.IId] How automated is the process to measure weather conditions?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.B.IId.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
 - [Q.B.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Not automated**
-

[Q.B.IIIa] How sophisticated is the utility's weather forecasting capability?

- [Q.B.IIIa.r1] Today i. **No reliable independent weather forecasting ability**
- [Q.B.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No reliable independent weather forecasting ability**

[Q.B.IIIb] How far in advance can accurate forecasts be prepared?

- [Q.B.IIIb.r1] Today i. **Less than two weeks in advance**
- [Q.B.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Less than two weeks in advance**

[Q.B.IIIc] At what level of granularity can forecasts be prepared?

- [Q.B.IIIc.r1] Today i. **Less granular than regional, or no forecasts at all**
- [Q.B.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Less granular than regional, or no forecasts at all**

[Q.B.IIId] How are results error-checked?

- [Q.B.IIId.r1] Today i. **Results are not error checked**
- [Q.B.IIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Results are not error checked**

[Q.B.IIIe] How automated is the forecast process?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.B.IIIe.r1] Today i. **Not automated**
 - [Q.B.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Not automated**
-

[Q.B.IVb] How is weather station data checked for errors?

- [Q.B.IVb.r1] Today ii. **External data used where direct measurements from utility's own weather stations are not available**
- [Q.B.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **External data used where direct measurements from utility's own weather stations are not available**

[Q.B.IVb] How is weather station data checked for errors?

- [Q.B.IVb.r1] Today i. **Weather station data is not checked for errors**
- [Q.B.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Weather station data is not checked for errors**

[Q.B.IVc] For what is weather data used?

- [Q.B.IVc.r1] Today i. Weather data is used to make decisions
 - [Q.B.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Weather data is used to make decisions
-

[Q.B.Va] Are there well-defined procedures for detecting ignitions along the grid?

- [Q.B.Va.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.B.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.B.Vb] What equipment is used to detect ignitions?

- [Q.B.Vb.r1] Today iii. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras
- [Q.B.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras

[Q.B.Vc] How is information on detected ignitions reported?

- [Q.B.Vc.r1] Today iii. Procedure exists for notifying suppression forces and key stakeholders
- [Q.B.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Procedure exists for notifying suppression forces and key stakeholders

[Q.B.Vd] What role does ignition detection software play in wildfire detection?

- [Q.B.Vd.r1] Today i. Ignition detection software not currently deployed
 - [Q.B.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Ignition detection software not currently deployed
-

[Q.C.Ia] How are wildfire risk reduction initiatives prioritized?

- [Q.C.Ia.r1] Today v. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives at the asset level based on i) risk modeling driven by local geography and climate/weather conditions, fuel loads and moisture content and topography ii) risk estimates across individual circuits, including estimates of actual consequence, and iii) taking power delivery uptime into account (e.g. reliability, PSPS, etc.)
 - [Q.C.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives at the asset level based on i) risk modeling driven by local geography and climate/weather conditions, fuel loads and moisture content and topography ii) risk estimates across individual circuits, including estimates of actual consequence, and iii) taking power delivery uptime into account (e.g. reliability, PSPS, etc.)
-

[Q.C.IIa] Does grid design meet minimum G095 requirements and loading standards in HFTD areas?

- [Q.C.IIa.r1] Today iii. Grid topology exceeds design requirements, designed based on accurate understanding of drivers of utility ignition risk
- [Q.C.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Grid topology exceeds design requirements, designed based on accurate understanding of drivers of utility ignition risk

[Q.C.IIb] Does the utility provide micro grids or islanding where traditional grid infrastructure is impracticable and wildfire risk is high?

- [Q.C.IIb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.C.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.C.IIc] Does routing of new portions of the grid take wildfire risk into account?

- [Q.C.IIc.r1] Today i. Yes
- [Q.C.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Yes

[Q.C.IId] Are efforts made to incorporate the latest asset management strategies and new technologies into grid topology?

- [Q.C.IId.r1] Today iii. Yes, across the entire service area
 - [Q.C.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, across the entire service area
-

[Q.C.IIIa] What level of redundancy does the utility's transmission architecture have?

- [Q.C.IIIa.r1] Today i. Many single points of failure
- [Q.C.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Many single points of failure

[Q.C.IIIb] What level of redundancy does the utility's distribution architecture have?

- [Q.C.IIIb.r1] Today i. Many single points of failure
- [Q.C.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Many single points of failure

[Q.C.IIIc] What level of sectionalization does the utility's distribution architecture have?

- [Q.C.IIIc.r1] Today i. Many single points of failure
- [Q.C.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Many single points of failure

[Q.C.IIIId] How does the utility consider egress points in its grid topology?

- [Q.C.IIIId.r1] Today i. Does not consider
 - [Q.C.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Does not consider
-

[Q.C.IVa] Does the utility have an understanding of the risk spend efficiency of hardening initiatives?

Clarification: 'Hardening initiatives' refers to all initiatives implemented by utility or by other utilities in California

- [Q.C.IVa.r1] Today iii. Utility has an accurate understanding of the relative cost and effectiveness of different initiatives, tailored to the circumstances of different locations on its grid
- [Q.C.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has an accurate understanding of the relative cost and effectiveness of different initiatives, tailored to the circumstances of different locations on its grid

[Q.C.IVb] At what level can estimates be prepared?

- [Q.C.IVb.r1] Today v. Asset-based
- [Q.C.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Asset-based

[Q.C.IVc] How frequently are estimates updated?

- [Q.C.IVc.r1] Today iii. Annually or more frequently
- [Q.C.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Annually or more frequently

[Q.C.IVd] What grid hardening initiatives does the utility include within its evaluation?

Clarification: 'All Hardening initiatives' refers to all initiatives implemented by utility or by other utilities in California

- [Q.C.IVd.r1] Today iv. All
- [Q.C.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. All

[Q.C.IVe] Can the utility evaluate risk reduction synergies from combination of various initiatives?

- [Q.C.IVe.r1] Today ii. Yes
 - [Q.C.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
-

[Q.C.Va] How are new hardening solution initiatives evaluated?

- [Q.C.Va.r1] Today ii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events

- [Q.C.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events, and measuring reduction impact on near-miss metrics

[Q.C.Vb] Are results of pilot and commercial deployments, including project performance, project cost, geography, climate, vegetation etc. shared in sufficient detail to inform decision making at other utilities?

- [Q.C.Vb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.C.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.C.Vc] Is performance of new initiatives independently audited?

- [Q.C.Vc.r1] Today i. No
 - [Q.C.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
-

[Q.D.Ia] What information is captured in the equipment inventory database?

- [Q.D.Ia.r1] Today iii. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs
- [Q.D.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs and up-to-date work plans on expected future repairs and replacements wherein repairs and sensor outputs are independently audited

[Q.D.Ib] How frequently is the condition assessment updated?

- [Q.D.Ib.r1] Today ii. Annually
- [Q.D.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Annually

[Q.D.Ic] Does all equipment in HFTD areas have the ability to detect and respond to malfunctions?

- [Q.D.Ic.r1] Today iv. Sensorized, continuous monitoring equipment is in place to determine the state of equipment and reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition, with the ability to de-activate electric lines and equipment exhibiting such failure
- [Q.D.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Sensorized, continuous monitoring equipment is in place to determine the state of equipment and reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition, with the ability to de-activate electric lines and equipment exhibiting such failure

[Q.D.Id] How granular is the inventory?

- [Q.D.Id.r1] Today iii. At the asset level
 - [Q.D.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. At the asset level
-

[Q.D.IIa] How frequent are your patrol inspections?

- [Q.D.IIa.r1] Today iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment
- [Q.D.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment

[Q.D.IIb] How are patrol inspections scheduled?

- [Q.D.IIb.r1] Today i. Based on annual or periodic schedules
- [Q.D.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Based on annual or periodic schedules

[Q.D.IIc] What are the inputs to scheduling patrol inspections?

- [Q.D.IIc.r1] Today i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment
- [Q.D.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment

[Q.D.IId] How frequent are detailed inspections?

- [Q.D.IId.r1] Today iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment
- [Q.D.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment

[Q.D.IIe] How are detailed inspections scheduled?

- [Q.D.IIe.r1] Today i. Based on annual or periodic schedules
- [Q.D.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Based on annual or periodic schedules

[Q.D.IIf] What are the inputs to scheduling detailed inspections?

- [Q.D.IIf.r1] Today i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment
- [Q.D.IIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment

[Q.D.IIg] How frequent are your other inspections?

- [Q.D.IIg.r1] Today iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment
- [Q.D.IIg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Above minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment

[Q.D.IIh] How are other inspections scheduled?

- [Q.D.IIh.r1] Today i. Based on annual or periodic schedules
- [Q.D.IIh.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Based on annual or periodic schedules

[Q.D.IIi] What are the inputs to scheduling other inspections?

- [Q.D.IIi.r1] Today i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment
- [Q.D.IIi.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. At least annually updated or verified static maps of equipment and environment

[Q.D.IIIa] What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?

- [Q.D.IIIa.r1] Today iii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes lines and equipment typically responsible for ignitions and near misses
- [Q.D.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes lines and equipment typically responsible for ignitions and near misses

[Q.D.IIIb] How are procedures and checklists determined?

- [Q.D.IIIb.r1] Today i. Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only
- [Q.D.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only

[Q.D.IIIc] At what level of granularity are the depth of checklists, training, and procedures customized?

- [Q.D.IIIc.r1] Today v. At the asset level

- [Q.D.IIIC.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. At the asset level
-

[Q.D.IVa] What level are electrical lines and equipment maintained at?

- [Q.D.IVa.r1] Today iii. Electrical lines and equipment maintained as required by regulation, and additional maintenance done in areas of grid at highest wildfire risk based on detailed risk mapping
- [Q.D.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Electrical lines and equipment maintained as required by regulation, and additional maintenance done in areas of grid at highest wildfire risk based on detailed risk mapping

[Q.D.IVb] How are service intervals set?

- [Q.D.IVb.r1] Today iv. None of the above
- [Q.D.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. None of the above

[Q.D.IVc] What do maintenance and repair procedures take into account?

- [Q.D.IVc.r1] Today iii. None of the above
 - [Q.D.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. None of the above
-

[Q.D.Va] How is contractor activity audited?

- [Q.D.Va.r1] Today ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors
- [Q.D.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors

[Q.D.Vb] Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees?

- [Q.D.Vb.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.D.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.D.Vc] How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance?

- [Q.D.Vc.r1] Today iv. Regularly
- [Q.D.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Regularly

[Q.D.Vd] How are work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated?

- [Q.D.Vd.r1] Today iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, and recommend training based on weaknesses
- [Q.D.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific pre-made and tested training based on weaknesses

[Q.D.Ve] Are workforce management software tools used to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors?

- [Q.D.Ve.r1] Today ii. Yes
 - [Q.D.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
-

[Q.E.Ia] What information is captured in the inventory?

- [Q.E.Ia.r1] Today i. There is no vegetation inventory sufficient to determine vegetation clearances across the grid at the time of the last inspection
- [Q.E.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. There is no vegetation inventory sufficient to determine vegetation clearances across the grid at the time of the last inspection

[Q.E.Ib] How frequently is inventory updated?

- [Q.E.Ib.r1] Today i. **Never**
- [Q.E.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Never**

[Q.E.Ic] Are inspections independently verified by third party experts?

- [Q.E.Ic.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.E.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.E.Id] How granular is the inventory?

- [Q.E.Id.r1] Today iv. **Asset-based**
 - [Q.E.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Asset-based**
-

[Q.E.IIa] How frequent are all types of vegetation inspections?

- [Q.E.IIa.r1] Today ii. **Consistent with minimum regulatory requirements**
- [Q.E.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Consistent with minimum regulatory requirements**

[Q.E.IIb] How are vegetation inspections scheduled?

- [Q.E.IIb.r1] Today i. **Based on annual or periodic schedules**
- [Q.E.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Based on annual or periodic schedules**

[Q.E.IIc] What are the inputs to scheduling vegetation inspections?

- [Q.E.IIc.r1] Today i. **At least annually-updated static maps of vegetation and environment**
 - [Q.E.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **At least annually-updated static maps of vegetation and environment**
-

[Q.E.IIIa] What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?

- [Q.E.IIIa.r1] Today ii. **Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations**
- [Q.E.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations**

[Q.E.IIIb] How are procedures and checklists determined?

- [Q.E.IIIb.r1] Today i. **Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only**
- [Q.E.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Based on statute and regulatory guidelines only**

[Q.E.IIIc] At what level of granularity are the depth of checklists, training, and procedures customized?

- [Q.E.IIIc.r1] Today v. **At the asset level**
 - [Q.E.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **At the asset level**
-

[Q.E.IVa] How does utility clearance around lines and equipment perform relative to expected standards?

- [Q.E.IVa.r1] Today iii. **Utility exceeds minimum statutory and regulatory clearances around all lines and equipment**
- [Q.E.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Utility exceeds minimum statutory and regulatory clearances around all lines and equipment**

[Q.E.IVb] Does utility meet or exceed minimum statutory or regulatory clearances during all seasons?

- [Q.E.IVb.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.E.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.E.IVc] What modeling is used to guide clearances around lines and equipment?

- [Q.E.IVc.r1] Today iii. **None of the above**
- [Q.E.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **None of the above**

[Q.E.IVd] What biological modeling is used to guide clearance around lines and equipment?

- [Q.E.IVd.r1] Today iii. **None of the above**
- [Q.E.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **None of the above**

[Q.E.IVe] Are community organizations engaged in setting local clearances and protocols?

- [Q.E.IVe.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.E.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.E.IVf] Does the utility remove vegetation waste along its right of way across the entire grid?

- [Q.E.IVf.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.E.IVf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.E.IVg] How long after cutting vegetation does the utility remove vegetation waste along right of way?

- [Q.E.IVg.r1] Today i. **Not at all**
- [Q.E.IVg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Not at all**

[Q.E.IVh] Does the utility work with local landowners to provide a cost-effective use for cutting vegetation?

- [Q.E.IVh.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.E.IVh.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.E.IVi] Does the utility work with partners to identify new cost-effective uses for vegetation, taking into consideration environmental impacts and emissions of vegetation waste?

- [Q.E.IVi.r1] Today i. **No**
 - [Q.E.IVi.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**
-

[Q.E.Va] Does the utility have a process for treating vegetation outside of right of ways?

- [Q.E.Va.r1] Today i. **Utility does not remove vegetation outside of right of way**
- [Q.E.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Utility does not remove vegetation outside of right of way**

[Q.E.Vb] How is potential vegetation that may pose a threat identified?

- [Q.E.Vb.r1] Today i. **No specific process in place to systematically identify trees likely to pose a risk**
- [Q.E.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No specific process in place to systematically identify trees likely to pose a risk**

[Q.E.Vc] Is vegetation removed with cooperation from the community?

- [Q.E.Vc.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.E.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.E.Vd] Does the utility remove vegetation waste outside its right of way across the entire grid?

- [Q.E.Vd.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.E.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.E.Ve] How long after cutting vegetation does the utility remove vegetation waste outside its right of way?

- [Q.E.Ve.r1] Today i. **Not at all**
- [Q.E.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Not at all**

[Q.E.Vf] Does the utility work with local landowners to provide a cost-effective use for cutting vegetation?

- [Q.E.Vf.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.E.Vf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.E.Vg] Does the utility work with partners to identify new cost-effective uses for vegetation, taking into consideration environmental impacts and emissions of vegetation waste?

- [Q.E.Vg.r1] Today i. **No**
 - [Q.E.Vg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**
-

[Q.E.Vla] How is contractor and employee activity audited?

- [Q.E.Vla.r1] Today i. **Lack of controls for auditing work completed, including inspections, for employees or subcontractors**
- [Q.E.Vla.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Lack of controls for auditing work completed, including inspections, for employees or subcontractors**

[Q.E.Vlb] Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees?

- [Q.E.Vlb.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.E.Vlb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.E.Vlc] How frequently is QA/QC information used to identify deficiencies in quality of work performance and inspections performance?

- [Q.E.Vlc.r1] Today i. **Never**
- [Q.E.Vlc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Never**

[Q.E.Vld] How is work and inspections that do not meet utility-prescribed standards remediated?

- [Q.E.Vld.r1] Today ii. **QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections**
- [Q.E.Vld.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections**

[Q.E.Vle] Are workforce management software tools used to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors?

- [Q.E.Vle.r1] Today i. **No**
 - [Q.E.Vle.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**
-

[Q.F.Ia] How are grid elements adjusted during high threat weather conditions?

- [Q.F.Ia.r1] Today i. **Utility does not make changes to adjustable equipment in response to high wildfire threat conditions**
- [Q.F.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Utility does not make changes to adjustable equipment in response to high wildfire threat conditions**

[Q.F.Ib] Is there an automated process for adjusting sensitivity of grid elements and evaluating effectiveness?

Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3 or 4

- [Q.F.Ib.r1] Today i. **No automated process**
- [Q.F.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No automated process**

[Q.F.Ic] Is there a predetermined protocol driven by fire conditions for adjusting sensitivity of grid elements?

- [Q.F.Ic.r1] Today i. **No**

- [Q.F.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
-

[Q.F.IIa] Does the utility have a clearly explained process for determining whether to operate the grid beyond current or voltage designs?

- [Q.F.IIa.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.F.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.F.IIb] Does the utility have systems in place to automatically track operation history including current, loads, and voltage throughout the grid at the circuit level?

- [Q.F.IIb.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.F.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.F.IIc] Does the utility use predictive modeling to estimate the expected life and make equipment maintenance, rebuild, or replacement decisions based on grid operating history, and is that model reviewed?

- [Q.F.IIc.r1] Today i. Modeling is not used
- [Q.F.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Modeling is not used

[Q.F.IId] When does the utility operate the grid above rated voltage and current load?

- [Q.F.IId.r1] Today iii. Never
 - [Q.F.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Never
-

[Q.F.IIIa] How effective is PSPS event forecasting?

- [Q.F.IIIa.r1] Today ii. PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer than 50% of predictions being false positives
- [Q.F.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer than 50% of predictions being false positives

[Q.F.IIIb] What share of customers are communicated to regarding forecasted PSPS events?

- [Q.F.IIIb.r1] Today v. PSPS event are communicated to >99.9% of affected customers and 100% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action
- [Q.F.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. PSPS event are communicated to >99.9% of affected customers and 100% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action

[Q.F.IIIc] During PSPS events, what percent of customers complain?

- [Q.F.IIIc.r1] Today iii. Less than 0.5%
- [Q.F.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Less than 0.5%

[Q.F.IIIId] During PSPS events, does the utility's website go down?

- [Q.F.IIIId.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.F.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.F.IIIe] During PSPS events, what is the average downtime per customer?

- [Q.F.IIIe.r1] Today v. Less than 0.1 hours
- [Q.F.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Less than 0.1 hours

[Q.F.IIIIf] Are specific resources provided to all affected customers to alleviate the impact of the power shutoff (e.g., providing backup generators, supplies, batteries, etc.)?

- [Q.F.IIIIf.r1] Today i. No
 - [Q.F.IIIIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
-

[Q.F.IVa] Does the utility have explicit thresholds for activating a PSPS?

- [Q.F.IVa.r1] Today iii. Utility has explicit policies and explanation for the thresholds above which PSPS is activated, but maintains grid in sufficiently low risk condition to not require any PSPS activity, though may de-energize specific circuits upon detection of damaged condition of electrical lines and equipment, or contact with foreign objects
- [Q.F.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has explicit policies and explanation for the thresholds above which PSPS is activated, but maintains grid in sufficiently low risk condition to not require any PSPS activity, though may de-energize specific circuits upon detection of damaged condition of electrical lines and equipment, or contact with foreign objects

[Q.F.IVb.r1] Today - Which of the following does the utility take into account when making PSPS decisions? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVb.r1c1] i. SME opinion (yes)

[Q.F.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) - Which of the following does the utility take into account when making PSPS decisions? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVb.r2c1] i. SME opinion (yes)

[Q.F.IVc.r1] Today - Under which circumstances does the utility de-energize circuits? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVc.r1c1] i. Upon detection of damaged conditions of electric equipment (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r1c2] ii. When circuit presents a safety risk to suppression or other personnel (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r1c3] iii. When equipment has come into contact with foreign objects posing ignition risk (yes)

[Q.F.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) - Under which circumstances does the utility de-energize circuits? Select all that apply.

- [Q.F.IVc.r2c1] i. Upon detection of damaged conditions of electric equipment (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r2c2] ii. When circuit presents a safety risk to suppression or other personnel (yes)
- [Q.F.IVc.r2c3] iii. When equipment has come into contact with foreign objects posing ignition risk (yes)

[Q.F.IVd] Given the condition of the grid, with what probability does the utility expect any large scale PSPS events affecting more than 10,000 people to occur in the coming year?

- [Q.F.IVd.r1] Today i. Less than 5 % - Grid is in sufficiently low risk condition that PSPS events will not be required, and the only circuits which may require de-energization have sufficient redundancy that energy supply to customers will not be disrupted
- [Q.F.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Less than 5 % - Grid is in sufficiently low risk condition that PSPS events will not be required, and the only circuits which may require de-energization have sufficient redundancy that energy supply to customers will not be disrupted

[Q.F.Va] Is there a process for inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization?

- [Q.F.Va.r1] Today iii. Existing process for accurately inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization, augmented with sensors and aerial tools
- [Q.F.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Existing process for accurately inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization, augmented with sensors and aerial tools

[Q.F.Vb] How automated is the process for inspecting de-energized sections of the grid prior to re-energization?

Clarification: For explanation on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

- [Q.F.Vb.r1] Today iv. Primarily automated, minimal manual inputs

- [Q.F.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. Primarily automated, minimal manual inputs

[Q.F.Vc] What is the average amount of time that it takes you to re-energize your grid from a PSPS once weather has subsided to below your de-energization threshold??

- [Q.F.Vc.r1] Today v. Within 8 hours
- [Q.F.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Within 8 hours

[Q.F.Vd] What level of understanding of probability of ignitions after PSPS events does the utility have across the grid?

- [Q.F.Vd.r1] Today iii. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of ignition risk following re-energization, by asset, validated by historical data and near misses
- [Q.F.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of ignition risk following re-energization, by asset, validated by historical data and near misses

[Q.F.Vla] Does the utility have defined policies around the role of workers in suppressing ignitions?

- [Q.F.Vla.r1] Today i. Utility has no policies governing what crews' roles are in suppressing ignitions
- [Q.F.Vla.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utilities have explicit policies about the role of crews, including contractors and subcontractors, at the site of ignition

[Q.F.Vlb] What training and tools are provided to workers in the field?

- [Q.F.Vlb.r1] Today iv. All criteria in option (iii) met; In addition, communication tools function without cell reception and training by suppression professionals is provided
- [Q.F.Vlb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. All criteria in option (iii) met and apply to contractors as well as utility workers

[Q.F.Vlc] In the events where workers have encountered an ignition, have any Cal/OSHA reported injuries or fatalities occurred in in the last year?

Clarification: For this year, please identify whether any major injuries or fatalities have occurred in 2019. For three years from now, please specify whether you think there is a chance that major injuries or fatalities could occur in 2022.

- [Q.F.Vlc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.F.Vlc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.F.Vld] Does the utility provide training to other workers at other utilities and outside the utility industry on best practices to minimize, report and suppress ignitions?

Clarification: An example of workers outside utility industry might be workers at a vegetation management company who prune trees near utility equipment

- [Q.F.Vld.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.F.Vld.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.la] Does the utility have a centralized database of situational, operational, and risk data?

Clarification: Question is asking whether utility centralizes most of its situational, operational, and risk data in a single database

- [Q.G.la.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.la.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.lb] Is the utility able to use advanced analytics on its centralized database of situational, operational, and risk data to make operational and investment decisions?

Clarification: In this case, advanced analytics refers to analysis integrating different types of data

from this centralized database in a sufficiently reliable way to create a detailed, quantitative and holistic picture of tradeoffs to be weighed in operational or investment decisions

- [Q.G.Ib.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, for both short term and long-term decision making

[Q.G.Ic] Does the utility collect data from all sensed portions of electric lines, equipment, weather stations, etc.?

- [Q.G.Ic.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.G.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.Id] Is the utility's database of situational, operational, and risk data able to ingest and share data using real-time API protocols with a wide variety of stakeholders?

- [Q.G.Id.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.Ie] Does the utility identify highest priority additional data sources to improve decision making?

- [Q.G.Ie.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.Ie.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.If] Does the utility share best practices for database management and use with other utilities in California and beyond?

- [Q.G.If.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.If.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.IIa] Is there a single document cataloguing all fire-related data and algorithms, analyses, and data processes?

- [Q.G.IIa.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIb] Is there an explanation of the sources, cleaning processes, and assumptions made in the single document catalog?

- [Q.G.IIb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIc] Are all analyses, algorithms, and data processing explained and documented?

- [Q.G.IIc.r1] Today i. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are not documented
- [Q.G.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented and explained

[Q.G.IId] Is there a system for sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions?

- [Q.G.IId.r1] Today i. No system capable of sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions
- [Q.G.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No system capable of sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions

[Q.G.IIe] Are the most relevant wildfire related data algorithms disclosed?

Clarification: Question is asking whether all algorithms or decision making process used to inform decision making around investment choices, risk mitigation choices, and emergency response are disclosed

- [Q.G.IIe.r1] Today iii. Yes, disclosed publicly in WMP upon request

- [Q.G.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Yes, disclosed publicly in WMP upon request
-

[Q.G.IIIa] Does the utility track near miss data for all near misses with wildfire ignition potential?

Clarification: Recall that near miss is defined as an event with significant probability of ignition, including wires down, contacts with objects, line slap, events with evidence of significant heat generation, and other events that cause sparking or have the potential to cause ignition.

- [Q.G.IIIa.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.G.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIIb] Based on near miss data captured, is the utility able to simulate wildfire potential given an ignition based on event characteristics, fuel loads, and moisture?

- [Q.G.IIIb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.IIIc] Does the utility capture data related to the specific mode of failure when capturing near-miss data?

- [Q.G.IIIc.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.G.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.G.IIIId] Is the utility able to predict the probability of a near miss in causing an ignition based on a set of event characteristics?

- [Q.G.IIIId.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.G.IIIe] Does the utility use data from near misses to change grid operation protocols in real time?

- [Q.G.IIIe.r1] Today i. No
 - [Q.G.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
-

[Q.G.IVa] Does the utility make disclosures and share data?

Clarification: In this case, 'disclosures' refer to disclosures to the CPUC and to the public

- [Q.G.IVa.r1] Today ii. Utility makes required disclosures, but does not share data beyond what is required
- [Q.G.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Utility makes required disclosures, but does not share data beyond what is required

[Q.G.IVb] Does the utility in engage in research?

Clarification: Here, 'research' broadly refers to collaborative research (e.g. with other utilities, academics, or the government) or to independent research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).

- [Q.G.IVb.r1] Today i. Utility does not participate in collaborative research
- [Q.G.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility does not participate in collaborative research

[Q.G.IVc] What subjects does utility research address?

- [Q.G.IVc.r1] Today iii. None of the above
- [Q.G.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. None of the above

[Q.G.IVd] Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research?

Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and

publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective

- [Q.G.IVd.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.G.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.H.Ia] For what risk scenarios is the utility able to provide projected cost and total risk reduction potential?

- [Q.H.Ia.r1] Today i. Utility does not project proposed initiatives or costs across different levels of risk scenarios
- [Q.H.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility does not project proposed initiatives or costs across different levels of risk scenarios

[Q.H.Ib] For what level of granularity is the utility able to provide projections for each scenario?

- [Q.H.Ib.r1] Today v. Asset level
- [Q.H.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Asset level

[Q.H.Ic] Does the utility include a long term (e.g., 6-10 year) risk estimate taking into account macro factors (climate change, etc.) as well as planned risk reduction initiatives in its scenarios?

- [Q.H.Ic.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.H.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.H.Id] Does the utility provide an estimate of impact on reliability factors in its scenarios?

Clarification: Reliability factors here refer to factors impacting reliability of service to customers

- [Q.H.Id.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.H.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.H.IIa] Does the utility present accurate qualitative rankings for its initiatives by risk spend efficiency?

- [Q.H.IIa.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.H.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.H.IIb] What initiatives are captured in the ranking of risk spend efficiency?

- [Q.H.IIb.r1] Today iv. None of the above
- [Q.H.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. None of the above

[Q.H.IIc] Does the utility include figures for present value cost and project risk reduction impact of each initiative, clearly documenting all assumptions (e.g. useful life, discount rate, etc.)?

- [Q.H.IIc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.H.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.H.IId] Does the utility provide an explanation of their investment in each particular initiative?

Clarification: Reliability factors here refer to factors impacting reliability of service to customers

- [Q.H.IId.r1] Today ii. Yes, including the expected overall reduction in risk
- [Q.H.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes, including the expected overall reduction in risk

[Q.H.IIe] At what level of granularity is the utility able to provide risk efficiency figures?

- [Q.H.IIe.r1] Today v. Asset level
- [Q.H.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Asset level

[Q.H.IIIa] How accurate of a risk spend efficiency calculation can the utility provide?

- [Q.H.IIIa.r1] Today i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of various clearances and types of vegetation management initiatives
- [Q.H.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of various clearances and types of vegetation management initiatives

[Q.H.IIIb] At what level can estimates be prepared?

- [Q.H.IIIb.r1] Today v. **Asset-based**
- [Q.H.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Asset-based**

[Q.H.IIIc] How frequently are estimates updated?

- [Q.H.IIIc.r1] Today i. **Never**
- [Q.H.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **Never**

[Q.H.IIIId] What vegetation management initiatives does the utility include within its evaluation?

- [Q.H.IIIId.r1] Today i. **None**
- [Q.H.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **None**

[Q.H.IIIe] Can the utility evaluate risk reduction synergies from combination of various initiatives?

- [Q.H.IIIe.r1] Today i. **No**
 - [Q.H.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**
-

[Q.H.IVa] How accurate of a risk spend efficiency calculation can the utility provide?

- [Q.H.IVa.r1] Today ii. Utility has accurate relative understanding of cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate
- [Q.H.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate

[Q.H.IVb] At what level can estimates be prepared?

- [Q.H.IVb.r1] Today v. **Asset-based**
- [Q.H.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Asset-based**

[Q.H.IVc] How frequently are estimates updated?

- [Q.H.IVc.r1] Today ii. **Less frequently than annually**
- [Q.H.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. **Annually or more frequently**

[Q.H.IVd] What grid hardening initiatives are included in the utility risk spend efficiency analysis?

- [Q.H.IVd.r1] Today i. **None**
- [Q.H.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **None**

[Q.H.IVe] Can the utility evaluate risk reduction effects from the combination of various initiatives?

- [Q.H.IVe.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
 - [Q.H.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**
-

[Q.H.Va] To what extent does the utility allocate capital to initiatives based on risk-spend efficiency (RSE)?

- [Q.H.Va.r1] Today i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RSE
- [Q.H.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RSE

[Q.H.Vb] What information does the utility take into account when generating RSE estimates?

- [Q.H.Vb.r1] Today ii. Specific information by initiative, including state of equipment and location where initiative will be implemented
- [Q.H.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Specific information by initiative, including state of equipment and location where initiative will be implemented

[Q.H.Vc] How does the utility verify RSE estimates?

- [Q.H.Vc.r1] Today i. Utility does not verify RSE estimates
- [Q.H.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility does not verify RSE estimates

[Q.H.Vd] Does the utility take into consideration impact on safety, reliability, and other priorities when making spending decisions?

- [Q.H.Vd.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.H.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.H.VIa] How does the utility develop and evaluate the efficacy of new wildfire initiatives?

- [Q.H.VIa.r1] Today i. No program in place
- [Q.H.VIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No program in place

[Q.H.VIb] How does the utility develop and evaluate the risk spend efficiency of new wildfire initiatives?

Clarification: TCO is total cost of ownership over the expected useful life of an asset, including purchase, operation and maintenance. In this question, total cost of ownership refers to the spend portion of the evaluation of risk spend efficiency, while risk reduction is evaluated separately.

- [Q.H.VIb.r1] Today i. No program in place
- [Q.H.VIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No program in place

[Q.H.VIc] At what level of granularity does the utility measure the efficacy of new wildfire initiatives?

- [Q.H.VIc.r1] Today v. Asset
- [Q.H.VIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. Asset

[Q.H.VId] Are the reviews of innovative initiatives audited by independent parties?

Clarification: Reviews here refer to findings evaluating innovative initiatives which would assist another utility in making a decision about whether to implement that initiative or help them determine how to do so effectively. Criteria might include but are not limited to the following: technical feasibility, effectiveness, risk spend efficiency, ease of implementation and comparison to alternative options

- [Q.H.VId.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.H.VId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.H.VIe] Does the utility share the findings of its evaluation of innovative initiatives with other utilities, academia, and the general public?

- [Q.H.VIe.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.H.VIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.I.Ia] Is the wildfire plan integrated with overall disaster and emergency plans?

Clarification: If the utility's wildfire mitigation plan is an integrated component of an overall disaster and emergency plan then the overall plan considers at least the compound effects of risks in both directions – for example, the additional risk of fire posed by an earthquake and how to manage any compounding effects

- [Q.I.Ia.r1] Today iii. Wildfire plan is an integrated component of overall plan
- [Q.I.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Wildfire plan is an integrated component of overall plan

[Q.I.Ib] Does the utility run drills to audit the viability and execution of its wildfire plans?

- [Q.I.Ib.r1] Today **i. No**
- [Q.I.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **ii. Yes**

[Q.I.Ic] Is the impact of confounding events or multiple simultaneous disasters considered in the planning process?

- [Q.I.Ic.r1] Today **i. No**
- [Q.I.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **ii. Yes**

[Q.I.Id] Is the plan integrated with disaster and emergency preparedness plans of other relevant stakeholders (e.g., CAL FIRE, Fire Safe Councils, etc.)?

- [Q.I.Id.r1] Today **i. No**
- [Q.I.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **ii. Yes**

[Q.I.Ie] Does the utility take a leading role in planning, coordinating, and integrating plans across stakeholders?

- [Q.I.Ie.r1] Today **i. No**
 - [Q.I.Ie.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **i. No**
-

[Q.I.IIa] Are there detailed and actionable procedures in place to restore service after a wildfire related outage?

- [Q.I.IIa.r1] Today **ii. Yes**
- [Q.I.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **ii. Yes**

[Q.I.IIb] Are employee and subcontractor crews trained in, and aware of, plans?

- [Q.I.IIb.r1] Today **ii. Yes**
- [Q.I.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **ii. Yes**

[Q.I.IIc] To what level are procedures to restore service after a wildfire-related outage customized?

- [Q.I.IIc.r1] Today **v. Asset level**
- [Q.I.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **v. Asset level**

[Q.I.IId] Is the customized procedure to restore service based on topography, vegetation, and community needs?

- [Q.I.IId.r1] Today **i. No**
- [Q.I.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **i. No**

[Q.I.IIe] Is there an inventory of high risk spend efficiency resources available for repairs?

Clarification: Question is asking whether the resources, components and tools that the utility has available for repairs, maintenance, and unexpected replacement are the most risk spend efficient options on the market

- [Q.I.IIe.r1] Today **ii. Yes**
 - [Q.I.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **ii. Yes**
-

[Q.I.IIIa] Does the utility provide clear and substantially complete communication of available information relevant to affected customers?

Clarification: Does the utility provide all available information which could be relevant to affected customers in a way that customers can receive in real time and easily understand?

- [Q.I.IIIa.r1] Today **ii. Yes**
- [Q.I.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) **ii. Yes**

[Q.I.IIIb] What percent of affected customers receive complete details of available information?

- [Q.I.IIIb.r1] Today v. >99.9% of customers
- [Q.I.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. >99.9% of customers

[Q.I.IIIc] What percent of affected medical baseline customers receive complete details of available information?

- [Q.I.IIIc.r1] Today v. >99.9% of medical baseline customers
- [Q.I.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. >99.9% of medical baseline customers

[Q.I.IIIId] How does the utility assist where helpful with communication of information related to power outages to customers?

- [Q.I.IIIId.r1] Today iii. None of the above
- [Q.I.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. None of the above

[Q.I.IIIe] How does the utility with engage other emergency management agencies during emergency situations?

- [Q.I.IIIe.r1] Today ii. Utility engages with other agencies in an ad hoc manner
- [Q.I.IIIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility has detailed and actionable established protocols for engaging with emergency management organizations

[Q.I.IIIIf] Does the utility communicate and coordinate resources to communities during emergencies (e.g., shelters, supplies, transportation etc.)?

- [Q.I.IIIIf.r1] Today i. No
 - [Q.I.IIIIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
-

[Q.I.IVd] Is there a protocol in place to record the outcome of emergency events and to clearly and actionably document learnings and potential process improvements?

- [Q.I.IVd.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.I.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVb] Is there a defined process and staff responsible for incorporating learnings into emergency plan?

- [Q.I.IVb.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.I.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVc] Once updated based on learnings and improvements, is the updated plan tested using "dry runs" to confirm its effectiveness?

- [Q.I.IVc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.I.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.I.IVd] Is there a defined process to solicit input from a variety of other stakeholders and incorporate learnings from other stakeholders into the emergency plan?

- [Q.I.IVd.r1] Today i. No
 - [Q.I.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes
-

[Q.I.Va] Does the utility conduct an evaluation or debrief process after a wildfire?

- [Q.I.Va.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.I.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.I.Vb] Does the utility conduct a customer survey and utilize partners to disseminate requests for stakeholder engagement?

- [Q.I.Vb.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.I.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.I.Vc] In what other activities does the utility engage?

- [Q.I.Vc.r1] Today i. **None**
- [Q.I.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **None**

[Q.I.Vd] Does the utility share with partners findings about what can be improved?

- [Q.I.Vd.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Ve] Are feedback and recommendations on potential improvements made public?

- [Q.I.Ve.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Ve.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vf] Does the utility conduct proactive outreach to local agencies and organizations to solicit additional feedback on what can be improved?

- [Q.I.Vf.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vg] Does the utility have a clear plan for post-event listening and incorporating lessons learned from all stakeholders?

- [Q.I.Vg.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vg.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vh] Does the utility track the implementation of recommendations and report upon their impact?
Clarification: Recommendations here refer to recommendations from customers, local agencies, organizations and other stakeholders received following a wildfire or PSPS event

- [Q.I.Vh.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vh.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.I.Vi] Does the utility have a process to conduct reviews after wildfires in other the territory of other utilities and states to identify and address areas of improvement?

- [Q.I.Vi.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.I.Vi.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Ia] Does the utility actively work to identify best practices from other utilities through a clearly defined operational process?

- [Q.J.Ia.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.Ia.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes, from other California utilities**

[Q.J.Ib] Does the utility successfully adopt and implement best practices identified from other utilities?

- [Q.J.Ib.r1] Today ii. **Yes**
- [Q.J.Ib.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Ic] Does the utility seek to share best practices and lessons learned in a consistent format?

- [Q.J.Ic.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.Ic.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Id] Does the utility share best practices and lessons via a consistent and predictable set of venues/media?

- [Q.J.Id.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.Id.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.Ie] Does the utility participate in annual benchmarking exercises with other utilities to find areas for improvement?

- [Q.J.Ie.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.Ie.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.If] Has the utility implemented a defined process for testing lessons learned from other utilities to ensure local applicability?

- [Q.J.If.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.If.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIa] Does the utility have a clear and actionable plan to develop or maintain a collaborative relationship with local communities?

- [Q.J.IIa.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. **Yes**

[Q.J.IIb] Are there communities in HFTD areas where meaningful resistance is expected in response to efforts to mitigate fire risk (e.g. vegetation clearance)?

- [Q.J.IIb.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.J.IIc] What percent of landowners are non-compliant with utility initiatives (e.g., vegetation management)?

- [Q.J.IIc.r1] Today v. **Less than 0.5%**
- [Q.J.IIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) v. **Less than 0.5%**

[Q.J.IId] What percent of landowners complain about utility initiatives (e.g., vegetation management)?

- [Q.J.IId.r1] Today iv. **Less than 1 %**
- [Q.J.IId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iv. **Less than 1 %**

[Q.J.IIe] Does the utility have a demonstratively cooperative relationship with communities containing >90% of the population in HFTD areas (e.g. by being recognized by other agencies as having a cooperative relationship with those communities in HFTD areas)?

- [Q.J.IIe.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.J.IIf] Does utility have records of landowners throughout communities containing >90% of the population in HFTD areas reaching out to notify of risks, dangers or issues in the past year? Clarification: For this year, please identify whether the question holds true for 2019. For three years from now, specify whether you expect the question to hold true in 2022.

- [Q.J.IIf.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIf.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.J.IIIa] Can the utility provide a plan to partner with organizations representing Limited English Proficiency (LEP) and Access & Functional Needs (AFN) communities?

- [Q.J.IIIa.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIIa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.J.IIIb] Can the utility outline how these partnerships create pathways for implementing suggested activities to address the needs of these communities?

- [Q.J.IIIb.r1] Today i. **No**
- [Q.J.IIIb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. **No**

[Q.J.IIIc] Can the utility point to clear examples of how those relationships have driven the utility's ability to interact with and prepare LEP & AFN communities for wildfire mitigation activities?

- [Q.J.IIIc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.J.IIIc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.J.IIIId] Does the utility have a specific annually-updated action plan further reduce wildfire and PSPS risk to LEP & AFN communities?

- [Q.J.IIIId.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.J.IIIId.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.J.IVa] What is the cooperative model between the utility and suppression agencies?

- [Q.J.IVa.r1] Today iii. Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working cooperatively with them to detect ignitions, in addition to notifying them of ignitions as needed
- [Q.J.IVa.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working cooperatively with them to detect ignitions, in addition to notifying them of ignitions as needed

[Q.J.IVb] In what areas is the utility cooperating with suppression agencies?

- [Q.J.IVb.r1] Today iii. Throughout utility service areas
- [Q.J.IVb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) iii. Throughout utility service areas

[Q.J.IVc] Does the utility accurately predict and communicate the forecasted fire propagation path using available analytics resources and weather data?

- [Q.J.IVc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.J.IVc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.J.IVd] Does the utility communicate fire paths to the community as requested?

- [Q.J.IVd.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.J.IVd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.J.IVe] Does the utility work to assist suppression crews logistically, where possible?

- [Q.J.IVe.r1] Today ii. Yes
- [Q.J.IVe.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) ii. Yes

[Q.J.Va] Where does the utility conduct substantial fuel management?

- [Q.J.Va.r1] Today i. Utility does not conduct fuel management
- [Q.J.Va.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility does not conduct fuel management

[Q.J.Vb] Does the utility engage with other stakeholders as part of its fuel management efforts?

- [Q.J.Vb.r1] Today i. Utility does not coordinate with broader fuel management efforts by other stakeholders
- [Q.J.Vb.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. Utility does not coordinate with broader fuel management efforts by other stakeholders

[Q.J.Vc] Does the utility cultivate a native vegetative ecosystem across territory that is consistent with lower fire risk?

- [Q.J.Vc.r1] Today i. No
- [Q.J.Vc.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No

[Q.J.Vd] Does the utility fund local groups (e.g., fire safe councils) to support fuel management?

- [Q.J.Vd.r1] Today i. No
 - [Q.J.Vd.r2] 3 years from now (by end of year 2022) i. No
-

[record] Record number 397

[uuid] Participant identifier 16sxr49ggq2vw2zt

[date] Completion time and date 02/10/2020 15:07